EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1. PURPOSE OF THE EIR

The County of San Luis Obispo (County), as the Lead Agency under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), has prepared this Environmental Impact Report (EIR) to assess the impacts that would result from the approval of the proposed Dana Reserve Specific Plan project (DRSP; project). This EIR will serve as a public information document to be used by the general public, responsible and trustee agencies, and decision-making bodies to review and evaluate the environmental effects associated with the project, potential mitigation measures recommended to address or minimize those effects, and reasonable alternatives to the project. The review process gives both agencies and individuals an opportunity to share expertise, discuss agency analyses, check for accuracy, detect omissions, discover public concerns, and solicit mitigation measures and alternatives capable of avoiding or reducing the significant effects of the project while still attaining most of the basic objectives of the project.

The remainder of the Executive Summary consists of the following sections:

- A brief description of the project location;
- A summary of the project background and objectives;
- A summary of key impacts and mitigation measures associated with the project;
- A summary of the known areas of controversy; and
- A summary of project alternatives and the environmentally superior alternative.

Following circulation of the Draft EIR (June 16–August 1, 2022), the County worked with the project applicant to incorporate several project modifications to try and minimize impacts and address concerns raised by reviewing agencies, organizations, and the public. These changes are described in Chapter 10 of this Final EIR. The applicant has prepared a revised Dana Reserve Specific Plan (the 2023 Specific Plan) to reflect these changes. Chapter 10 identifies each of the proposed changes and documents that the revised project would not result in any new or more severe environmental impacts and would not require recirculation of the EIR. The 2023 Specific Plan has been included as Appendix A.

The County held a two-day public hearing for review of the 2023 Specific Plan by the Planning Commission on October 23-24, 2023. The Planning Commission recommended additional changes to the project to maximize housing and address concerns. The applicant prepared a revised Dana Reserve Specific Plan (the 2024 Specific Plan) to reflect these changes. Chapter 11 identifies each of the proposed changes and documents that the revised project would not result in any new or more severe environmental impacts and would not require recirculation of the EIR. The 2024 Specific Plan has been included as Appendix A.

2. PROJECT LOCATION

For purposes of this EIR, the project site includes the Specific Plan Area and off-site areas where project-related transportation, water system, and wastewater system improvements would occur. The DRSP project site and associated off-site improvement areas are located within the southwestern portion of unincorporated San Luis Obispo County, California. The Specific Plan Area is located adjacent to the northern boundary of the Nipomo urban reserve line (URL) and directly west of U.S. Route 101 (US 101). The Specific Plan Area consists of three adjoining parcels—Assessor's Parcel Numbers (APNs) 091-301-030, 091-301-031, and 091-301-073—totaling approximately 288 acres. The main

parcel is APN 091-301-073, which underlies the majority of the Specific Plan Area and is 274.4 acres in size. The remaining parcels (APNs 091-301-030 and 091-301-031) connect the main parcel to Willow Road and are approximately 7.7 and 7.2 acres in size, respectively. Off-site transportation, water system, and wastewater system improvement areas would be located along existing roadways and/or within other previously developed areas within the vicinity of the Specific Plan Area (see Chapter 2, *Project Description*, for the full description of proposed off-site improvement areas). The project also includes the off-site dedication of an open space and conservation easement on a 388-acre property known as Dana Ridge (APNs 090-031-003 and 090-031-004), located approximately 2.1 miles east of the project site.

3. PROJECT BACKGROUND AND OVERVIEW

The County of San Luis Obispo General Plan identifies the main project parcel as the Cañada Ranch Specific Plan area, which is subject to preparation and adoption of a Specific Plan prior to annexation of the site into the Nipomo URL. A Specific Plan is a planning tool that allows a county/community to provide a framework and vision for future development of a defined area. The property is designated as an expansion area under the South County Area Plan (Sections 4.5 and 4.8) as well as the San Luis Obispo County Code (Inland) – Title 22, Land Use Ordinance (LUO) (Section 22.98.072). Per the County LUO, a Specific Plan shall be prepared for the Cañada Ranch property and shall comply with the following provisions:

- a. **Types of uses.** The concept of a Specific Plan is for uses in the following priority for acreage, scale and intensity:
 - (1) Open space uses within the oak woodlands;
 - (2) Industrial park(s) that will generate "basic" employment for the Nipomo and south county area;
 - (3) Commercial service parks that do not conflict with downtown and community shopping commercial uses within Nipomo;
 - (4) Retail uses to serve the daily shopping needs of employees and residents of the site in compliance with purpose and character statements for neighborhood shopping areas in Framework for Planning Inland Area;
 - (5) Commercial retail uses that are in compliance with purpose and character statements in Framework for Planning Inland Area for highway-oriented retail;
 - (6) Residential areas to contain a mix of housing unit types, a portion of which should be affordable to average employee incomes on the site, timing to be concurrent with or following establishment and operation of nonresidential uses, the timing to be determined by a market feasibility study
- b. **Oak habitat preservation.** Designation of the existing oak forest habitat for open space preservation, where limited recreational and open space uses may be allowed.
- c. **Pedestrian-oriented site planning.** Location of workplaces, shopping, services, civic buildings and residences in close proximity to each other to facilitate walking and alternative transportation to the private vehicle.
- d. **Architecture and landscaping.** Guidelines for architecture and landscaping that respond to the rural character of the area.

e. **Resource, facility and services needs.** Extent of necessary public, or private where applicable, needs including, but not limited to, safety, health, waste management and water supply.

On June 24, 2020, the project applicant, Dana Reserve, LLC and NKT Development, LLC, submitted a draft Specific Plan and Vesting Tentative Tract Map (VTTM) to develop new residential, commercial, light industrial uses, and related improvements on the 288-acre Dana Reserve property (previously referred to as Cañada Ranch). The County also initiated a proposed General Plan Amendment to designate the Specific Plan Area as a single land use category (e.g., Specific Plan), which would refer to and incorporate the proposed Specific Plan and would also ensure consistency throughout the County's General Plan.

The DRSP would guide future development of the Specific Plan Area by defining land uses and development standards, circulation, parks and trails, and infrastructure for the future proposed residential, commercial, and open space uses. The DRSP would also provide a phasing/implementation plan and describe the public facility financing mechanisms available for the ongoing maintenance of public and private improvements required for the DRSP. Major components of the DRSP include:

- Land use and development standards for residential, commercial, and open space/recreational uses;
- Site and building design guidelines;
- Goals supporting a variety of housing types to allow a range of opportunities for home ownership or rental options;
- Establishment of north-to-south roadway connections through the Specific Plan Area to better connect Tefft Street and Pomeroy Road to Willow Road;
- Implementation of an interconnected network of walking, bicycling, and equestrian trails and facilities: and
- The generation of new employment opportunities and provision of access to day-to-day goods and services through development of a range of commercial uses.

The DRSP is a primarily residential project with over 75% of the Specific Plan Area designated for residential uses, which would accommodate up to 1,289 single-family and multi-family residential units. However, it identifies a mix of land uses within the Specific Plan Area to serve the new neighborhoods and surrounding community. The DRSP would allow for the future phased development of residential uses, village and flex commercial uses (including a hotel, educational/training facilities, and light industrial uses), open space, trails, and a public neighborhood park within the Specific Plan Area (Table ES-1; see Chapter 2, *Project Description*, for the full description of the proposed project).

Table ES-1. Project Overview

Land Use Zones	Acres ¹	Potential Units ¹	Potential Floor Area (square feet)
Residential Single-Family	149.5	831	
Residential Multi-Family	23.5	458	
Rural Residential (Existing)	10.0	N/A ²	
Recreation/Public Park	11.0 ³		
Village and Flex Commercial ⁴	22.3		110,000–203,000

Land Use Zones	Acres ¹	Potential Units ¹	Potential Floor Area (square feet)
Open Space, Trails, Basins	49.8		
Roads	21.9		
Total	288	1,289	110,000–203,000

¹ All acreage and potential units can be adjusted up to 10% to address site-specific constraints and more suitable site design, subject to County review.

4. PROJECT OBJECTIVES

Section 15124(b) of the State CEQA Guidelines requires a statement of a project's objectives, which includes the underlying purpose of the project, to guide the Lead Agency in developing a reasonable range of alternatives and aid decision makers in preparing findings. The objective of the DRSP is to develop a master-planned neighborhood intended to provide a diversity of housing types, generate new employment opportunities, provide access to day-to-day goods and services, maintain the rural history and character of the property, and integrate a multimodal transportation network. The project's primary underlying purpose is to provide a range of housing types, including affordable and market-rate workforce housing. The primary objectives of the DRSP project include:

- 1. To provide a mix of land uses that offers a range of amenities accessible to residents and community members.
- 2. To respect Old Town Nipomo, by providing a small, neighborhood-oriented village commercial area designed to complement, rather than compete with, Old Town Nipomo.
- 3. To provide a public neighborhood park, pocket parks, and open space areas within each residential neighborhood, linking the neighborhoods together through a network of trails and open spaces.
- 4. To incorporate the rural history of the community through architectural design.
- 5. To provide a diversity of housing types and opportunities for home ownership and rental, including affordable homes consistent with the goals and policies of the Housing Element of the General Plan, the County's Inclusionary Housing Ordinance, and regional housing needs.
- 6. To create new employment and job training opportunities for the community and the broader South San Luis Obispo County area.
- 7. To enhance circulation within the DRSP and existing community by continuing the existing public roadway network through the DRSP property to connect to Willow Road, providing a new Park and Ride lot to encourage carpooling, and creating new public transportation points of connection to facilitate public transit use and reduce single-occupant automobile use.
- 8. To integrate a network of walking, bicycling, and equestrian facilities to connect on-site residential neighborhoods and the broader community.
- 9. To maintain the large, centrally located oak <u>forest woodland</u> area as a site feature and to minimize impacts to special-status plants and animals on-site.

² The Specific Plan Area includes two parcels between Cherokee Place and Willow Road (APNs 091-301-030 and 091-301-031) that are currently designated Residential Rural (RR). The DRSP does not propose to change the land use designation of these parcels or develop additional residential, commercial, or recreational uses within these parcels. They are included in the DRSP to provide connections for Collectors A and B from Cherokee Place to Willow Road. These roadway improvements are the only development proposed on these parcels; therefore, the identification of additional potential units is not applicable for these parcels.

³ Minimum requirement.

⁴ Proposed Commercial uses include a 60,000-sf hotel and a 30,000-sf educational/training facility.

- 10. To meet the <u>state law requirements for energy efficiencies</u>, <u>state law and Nipomo Community Services District (NCSD) policies and ordinances relating to water conservation</u>, <u>and County Building Code requirements for energy efficiencies and water savings</u>.
- 11. To reduce uncertainty in planning for and secure the orderly development of the Specific Plan Area.
- 12. To provide effective and efficient development of public facilities, infrastructure, and services appropriate for the Specific Plan Area.
- 13. To meet or exceed the requirements of the Nipomo Community Services District (NCSD) District Code and Annexation Policy to ensure that the DRSP <u>funds or</u> constructs the water and wastewater infrastructure necessary to serve the project without adverse impacts on the NCSD's ability to serve existing and future users.

In addition to the above applicant-stated primary objectives of the DRSP, the County Board of Supervisors, on January 26, 2021, entered into a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with the applicant that states the project would have the following benefits to the County:

- 1. Implementing the County's stated land use goals.
- 2. Dedication of an open space easement, community park, and trail system.
- 3. Providing the County with anticipated increased sales tax, property tax, and transient occupancy tax revenues.
- 4. Providing for affordable housing in furtherance of the County's Housing Element and inclusionary housing goals and to assist in meeting the County's Regional Housing Needs Allocation (RHNA).
- 5. Providing a portion of the site to be developed as a business park, commercial area, or such related uses, in support of the County's further economic development.
- 6. Permanent conservation of 388 acres of oak woodlands or similar habitat located off-site.

5. SIGNIFICANT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS IDENTIFIED

Section 15123(b)(1) of the State CEQA Guidelines requires identification of each significant effect with proposed mitigation measures and alternatives intended to reduce or avoid the effect. Impacts of the proposed project and alternatives have been classified using the categories described below:

- Class I: Significant and unavoidable impacts. Significant impacts that cannot be fully and effectively mitigated. No measures could be taken to avoid or reduce these adverse effects to insignificant or negligible levels.
- Class II: Significant, but mitigable impacts. These impacts are potentially similar in significance to those of significant, unavoidable, adverse impacts, but can be reduced or avoided by the implementation of mitigation measures.
- Class III: Less than significant impacts. Mitigation measures may still be required for these impacts as long as there is rough proportionality between the environmental impacts caused by the project and the mitigation measures imposed on the project.

The term "significance" is used throughout the EIR to characterize the magnitude of the projected impact. For the purpose of this EIR, a significant impact is a substantial or potentially substantial change to resources in the local proposed project area or the area adjacent to the proposed project. In the discussions of each issue area, thresholds are identified that are used to distinguish between significant and insignificant impacts. To the extent feasible, distinctions are also made between regional and local significance and short-term versus long-term duration. Where possible, measures have been identified to

reduce project impacts to less-than-significant levels. CEQA requires that public agencies should not approve projects as proposed if there are feasible mitigation measures available that would substantially lessen the environmental effects of such projects (CEQA Statute Section 21002). Included with each mitigation measure are the plan requirements needed to ensure that the mitigation is included in the plans and construction of the project and the required timing of the action (e.g., prior to development of final construction plans, prior to commencement of construction, prior to operation, etc.).

The impacts and associated mitigation measures identified for the project are shown in Table ES-2, Summary of Impacts and Mitigation Measures. The table includes significant and less-than-significant impacts, all of which are identified with an impact number (e.g., AQ Impact 1). The impact summary table describes and classifies each impact, lists recommended mitigation when applicable, and states the level of residual impact (i.e., the level of impact remaining after implementation of identified mitigation). A summary of project alternatives, including the environmentally superior alternative, is included in Section 7, *Project Alternatives*, of this Executive Summary.

Table ES-2. Summary of Impacts and Mitigation Measures

Project Component	Impacts	Mitigation Measures	Residual Impacts
Aesthetics			
Specific Plan Area	AES Impact 1: The project would not have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista.	Mitigation is not necessary.	Residual impacts would be less than significant (Class III)
Off-Site Improvements	AES Impact 2: Off-site improvements would not have an adverse effect on a scenic vista.	Mitigation is not necessary.	Residual impacts would be less than significant (Class III)
Specific Plan Area	AES Impact 3: The project would substantially degrade the visual character of the site and its surroundings.	AES/mm-3.1: The Dana Reserve Specific Plan shall create a U.S. Route 101 Visual Screening Zone along the length of the project adjacent to the utility easement and U.S. Route 101, for the purpose of reducing visibility of the development and minimizing visual impacts to the vegetated visual character of the site and its surroundings as seen from the highway. The U.S. Route 101 Visual Screening Zone shall be a minimum width of 230 feet. The screening zone shall be in addition to the minimum 2050 -foot width of the utility easement, totaling a minimum width of 450 feet for the U.S. Route 101 Visual Screening Zone. Existing trees in this zone shall be preserved.	Residual impacts would be less than significant with mitigation (Class II)
		Where no trees exist in this zone, oak trees and native shrubs shall be planted. This screening zone shall be implemented as part of the first phase of project development. Plantings shall achieve a minimum of 50% visual screening of the development as seen from U.S. Route 101 within 10 years of planting. Trees planted in this zone shall be subject to the following container sized: 45% of the replacement trees shall be a minimum of 15-gallon container size, 45% of the replacement trees shall be a minimum of 24-inch box container size, and 10% of the replacement trees shall be a minimum of 48-inch container size.	
		AES/mm-3.2: Replacement trees shall be planted within the "on-site" project boundaries in areas that maximize their visibility from public roadways and common areas. Replacement trees shall be planted from the following container sizes: 20% of the replacement trees shall be a minimum of 15-gallon container size, 20% of the replacement trees shall be a minimum of 24-inch box container size, and 10% of the replacement trees shall be a minimum of 48-inch container size. All replacement trees shall be maintained in perpetuity.	
Off-Site Improvements	AES Impact 4: Off-site improvements would not substantially degrade the visual character of the off-site improvement areas and their surroundings.	Mitigation is not necessary.	Residual impacts would be less than significant (Class III)

Project Component	Impacts	Mitigation Measures	Residual Impacts
Specific Plan Area	AES Impact 5: The project would create a new source of nighttime lighting or glare.	Mitigation is not necessary.	Residual impacts would be less than significant (Class III)
Off-Site Improvements	AES Impact 6: Off-site improvements would create a new source of nighttime lighting or glare.	Mitigation is not necessary.	Residual impacts would be less than significant (Class III)
Cumulative	mulative AES Impact 7: The project would contribute to	Implement Mitigation Measures AES/mm-3.1 through AES/mm-3.23.	Residual impacts
	cumulative aesthetic and visual resource impacts.	AES/mm-7.1: The Dana Reserve Specific Plan shall require preparation of a Visual Impact Assessment for each subsequent implementing development. The Visual Impact Assessments shall analyze the proposed subsequent development prior to its occurrence with the goal of minimizing project noticeability from areas outside Dana Reserve boundaries.	would be less than significant with mitigation (Class II)
Agriculture and Fo	prestry Resources		
Specific Plan Area	AG Impact 1: The project would not result in the conversion of Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance, as shown on maps prepared pursuant to the FMMP, to non-agricultural use.	Mitigation is not necessary.	Residual impacts would be less than significant (Class III)
Off-Site Improvements	AG Impact 2: Off-site improvements would not result in the conversion of Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance, as shown on maps prepared pursuant to the FMMP, to non-agricultural use.	Mitigation is not necessary.	Residual impacts would be less than significant (Class III)
Specific Plan Area	AG Impact 3: The project would not conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use or a Williamson Act contract.	Mitigation is not necessary.	Residual impacts would be less than significant (Class III)
Off-Site Improvements	AG Impact 4: Off-site improvements would not conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use or a Williamson Act contract.	Mitigation is not necessary.	Residual impacts would be less than significant (Class III)
Specific Plan Area	AG Impact 5: The project could involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of farmland to non-agricultural use.	Implement Mitigation Measures AQ/mm-3.2 and AQ/mm-3.3.	Residual impacts would be less than significant with mitigation (Class II)

Impacts	Mitigation Measures	Residual Impacts
AG Impact 6: Off-site improvements could involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of farmland to non-agricultural use.	Implement Mitigation Measure AQ/mm-3.2.	Residual impacts would be less than significant with mitigation (Class II)
AG Impact 7: The project would not result in a cumulatively considerable impact to agricultural resources.	Mitigation is not necessary.	Residual impacts would be less than significant (Class III)
AQ Impact 1: The project would conflict with an applicable air quality plan.	Implement Mitigation Measures AQ/mm-3.1 through AQ/mm-3.3 and TR/mm-3.1.	Residual impacts would be significant and unavoidable (Class I)
AQ Impact 2: Off-site improvements would not conflict with an applicable air quality plan.	Mitigation is not necessary.	Residual impacts would be less than significant (Class III)
AQ Impact 3: The project would result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of criteria pollutants in exceedance of established SLOAPCD daily emissions thresholds.	Implement Mitigation Measure TR/mm-3.1. AQ/mm-3.1: A Construction Activity Management Plan (CAMP) shall be prepared. The CAMP shall be submitted to the San Luis Obispo Air Pollution Control District for review and approval at least 3 months before the start of construction. The CAMP shall include a dust-control management plan, tabulation of on- and off-road construction equipment (age, horsepower, and usage rates), construction truck trip schedules, construction workday period, and construction phasing. Each subsequent developer shall provide documentation establishing consistency with the CAMP prior to the start of construction activities. If there are any changes to these assumptions after completion of the CAMP, the subsequent developer shall coordinate with the San Luis Obispo Air Pollution Control District to ensure alterations are not detrimental to emissions reduction strategies and that revisions to the CAMP are not required. If implementation of Standard Mitigation and Best Available Control Technology measures cannot reduce project emissions to below the San Luis Obispo Air Pollution Control District's Tier 2 threshold, off-site mitigation shall be implemented in coordination with the San Luis Obispo Air Pollution Control District to reduce nitrogen oxides (NO _X) and reactive organic gas (ROG) emissions to below the Tier 2 threshold. At a minimum, tThe following measures shall be implemented and included in the CAMP to reduce construction generated mobile-source and evaporative emissions:	Residual impacts would be significant and unavoidable (Class I)
	AG Impact 6: Off-site improvements could involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of farmland to non-agricultural use. AG Impact 7: The project would not result in a cumulatively considerable impact to agricultural resources. AQ Impact 1: The project would conflict with an applicable air quality plan. AQ Impact 2: Off-site improvements would not conflict with an applicable air quality plan.	AG Impact 6: Off-site improvements could involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of farmland to non-agricultural use. AG Impact 7: The project would not result in a cumulatively considerable impact to agricultural resources. Mitigation is not necessary. Mitigation Measures AQ/mm-3.1 through AQ/mm-3.3 and TR/mm-3.1. AQ Impact 1: The project would conflict with an applicable air quality plan. Mitigation is not necessary. Mitigation Measures AQ/mm-3.1 through AQ/mm-3.3 and TR/mm-3.1. AQ Impact 2: Off-site improvements would not conflict with an applicable air quality plan. Mitigation is not necessary. Mitigation Measures TR/mm-3.1. AQ Impact 3: The project would result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of criteria pollutants in exceedance of established SLOAPCD daily emissions thresholds. AC Impact 3: The project would result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of criteria pollutants in exceedance of established SLOAPCD daily emissions thresholds. AC Impact 3: The project would result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of criteria pollutants in exceedance of established SLOAPCD daily emissions thresholds. AC Impact 3: The project would result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of criteria pollutants in exceedance of established SLOAPCD daily emissions thresholds. AC Impact 3: The project would result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of criteria pollutants in exceedance of established SLOAPCD daily emissions thresholds. AC Impact 3: The project would result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of criteria pollutants in exceedance of established SLOAPCD daily emissions thresholds. AC Impact 3: The project would result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of criteria pollutants in exceedance of established SLOAPCD daily emissions thresholds. AC Impact 4: The project emission of the CAMPD to reduce the san Luis Obispo Air Pollution control District To reduce project

Project Component	Impacts		Mitigation Measures	Residua Impacts
		2.	Fuel all off-road and portable diesel-powered equipment with California Air Resources Board-certified motor vehicle diesel fuel (non-taxed version suitable for use off-road).	
		3.	Diesel-fueled construction equipment shall meet, at a minimum, California Air Resources Board's Tier 3, or newer, certified engines or cleaner off-road heavy-duty diesel engines, and comply with the State Off-Road Regulation. Heavy-duty off-road equipment meeting Tier 4 emissions standards shall be used to the extent locally available.	
		4.	Use on-road heavy-duty trucks that meet the California Air Resources Board's 2010, or cleaner, certification standard for on-road heavy-duty diesel engines, and comply with the State On-Road Regulation.	
		5.	Construction or trucking companies with fleets that do not have engines in their fleet that meet the engine standards identified in the above two measures (e.g., captive or nitrogen oxides exempt area fleets) may be eligible by proving alternative compliance.	
		6.	Electrify equipment when feasible.	
		7.	Substitute gasoline-powered in place of diesel-powered equipment, where feasible.	
		8.	Use alternative-fueled construction equipment on-site where feasible, such as compressed natural gas (CNG), liquefied natural gas (LNG), propane, or biodiesel.	
		9.	When applicable, portable equipment, 50 horsepower (hp) or greater, used during construction activities shall be registered with the California statewide portable equipment registration program (issued by the California Air Resources Board) or be permitted by the San Luis Obispo Air Pollution Control District. Such equipment may include power screens, conveyors, internal combustion engines, crushers, portable generators, tub grinders, trammel screens, and portable plants (e.g., aggregate plant, asphalt plant, concrete plant). For more information, contact the San Luis Obispo Air Pollution Control District Engineering and Compliance Division at (805) 781-5912.	
		10.	Construction of the proposed project shall use low-volatile organic compound content paints not exceeding 50 grams per liter.	
		11.	To the extent locally available, use prefinished building materials or materials that do not require the application of architectural coatings.	
		12.	The following idling restrictions near sensitive receptors for both on- and off-road equipment shall be implemented:	
			 Staging and queuing areas shall not be located within 1,000 feet of sensitive receptors; 	
			 b. Diesel idling within 1,000 feet of sensitive receptors is not permitted; 	
			c. Use of alternative fueled equipment is recommended whenever possible; and	

Project Component	Impacts	Mitigation Measures	Residual Impacts
----------------------	---------	---------------------	---------------------

- Signs that specify the no idling requirements must be posted and enforced at the construction site.
- 13. On-road vehicle operations shall comply with 13 California Code of Regulations Section 2485, which limits diesel-fueled commercial motor vehicles that operate in the State of California with gross vehicular weight ratings of greater than 10,000 pounds and licensed for operation on highways. It applies to California- and non-California-based vehicles. In general, the regulation specifies that drivers of said vehicles:
 - a. Shall not idle the vehicle's primary diesel engine for greater than 5 minutes at any location, except as noted in Subsection (d) of the regulation; and
 - b. Shall not operate a diesel-fueled auxiliary power system (APS) to power a heater, air conditioner, or any ancillary equipment on that vehicle during sleeping or resting in a sleeper berth for greater than 5 minutes at any location when within 100 feet of a restricted area, except as noted in Subsection (d) of the regulation.
- 14. Signs shall be posted in the designated queuing areas and job sites to remind drivers of the 5-minute idling limit. The specific requirements and exceptions in the regulation can be reviewed at the following web site: www.arb.ca.gov/msprog/truck-idling/2485.pdf.
- Off-road diesel equipment shall comply with the 5-minute idling restriction identified in Section 2449(d)(3) of the California Air Resources Board's In-Use Off-Road Diesel regulation available at: www.arb.ca.gov/regact/2007/ordiesl07/frooal.pdf.

AQ/mm-3.2: The following measures shall be implemented to reduce constructiongenerated fugitive dust. These measures shall be shown on grading and building plans:

- 1. Reduce the amount of disturbed area where possible.
- 2. Use water trucks, San Luis Obispo Air Pollution Control District-approved dust suppressants (see Section 4.3 in the California Environmental Quality Act Air Quality Handbook), or sprinkler systems in sufficient quantities to prevent airborne dust from leaving the site and from exceeding the San Luis Obispo Air Pollution Control District's limit of 20% opacity for greater than 3 minutes in any 60-minute period. Increased watering frequency would be required whenever wind speeds exceed 15 miles per hour. Reclaimed (non-potable) water should be used whenever possible. Please note that since water use is a concern due to drought conditions, the contractor or builder shall require consider the use of a San Luis Obispo Air Pollution Control District-approved dust suppressant where feasible to reduce the amount of water used for dust control. For a list of suppressants, see Section 4.3 of the California Environmental Quality Act Air Quality Handbook.
- 3. All dirt stockpile areas should be sprayed daily as needed.

Project Component	Impacts		Mitigation Measures	Residual Impacts
		Permanent dust control measures identified in the approved project revegetation and landscape plans should be implemented as soon as possible following completion of any soil-disturbing activities.		
		5.	Exposed ground areas that are planned to be reworked at dates greater than 1 month after initial grading should be sown with a fast-germinating, non-invasive grass seed and watered until vegetation is established.	
		6.	All disturbed soil areas not subject to revegetation should be stabilized using approved chemical soil binders, jute netting, or other methods approved in advance by the San Luis Obispo Air Pollution Control District.	
		7.	All roadways, driveways, sidewalks, etc. to be paved should be completed as soon as possible. In addition, building pads should be laid as soon as possible after grading unless seeding or soil binders are used.	
		8.	Vehicle speed for all construction vehicles shall not exceed 15 miles per hour on any unpaved surface at the construction site.	
		9.	All trucks hauling dirt, sand, soil, or other loose materials are to be covered or should maintain at least 2 feet of freeboard (minimum vertical distance between the top of load and top of trailer) in accordance with California Vehicle Code Section 23114.	
		10.	Install wheel washers at the construction site entrance/exit, wash off the tires or tracks of all trucks and equipment leaving the site, or implement other San Luis Obispo Air Pollution Control District-approved track-out prevention devices sufficient to minimize the track-out of soil onto paved roadways.	
		11.	Sweep streets at the end of each day if visible soil material is carried onto adjacent paved roads. Water sweepers with reclaimed water should be used where feasible.	
		12.	The burning of vegetative material shall be prohibited. Effective February 25, 2000, the San Luis Obispo Air Pollution Control District prohibited developmental burning of vegetative material within San Luis Obispo County. For more information, contact the San Luis Obispo Air Pollution Control District Engineering and Compliance Division at (805) 781-5912.	
		13.	The contractor or builder shall designate a person or persons to monitor the fugitive dust emissions and enhance the implementation of the measures as necessary to minimize dust complaints, reduce visible emissions below 20% opacity, and prevent the transport of dust off-site. Their duties shall include holidays and weekend periods when work may not be in progress. The name and telephone number of such persons shall be provided to the San Luis Obispo Air Pollution Control District Compliance Division prior to the start of any grading or earthwork.	
			3.3: The following mitigation measures shall be implemented, to the extent to minimize long-term operational emissions:	
		1.	Install electric fireplaces in place of U.S. Environmental Protection Agency-certified Tier 2 residential wood-burning appliances.	

Project Component	Impacts	Mitigation Measures	Residual Impacts
		2. Provide a pedestrian-friendly and interconnected streetscape with good access to/from the development for pedestrians, bicyclists, and transit users to make alternative transportation more convenient, comfortable, and safe. Features may include appropriate signalization and signage, safe routes to school, linking cul-de-sacs and dead ends, orienting buildings toward streets with automobile parking in the rear, etc.	
		 For all commercial and multi-family residential land uses, provide shade (e.g., through tree plantings or built structures) over 50% of parking spaces to reduce evaporative emissions from parked vehicles, excluding areas where increased shade would affect the performance of solar photovoltaic systems. 	
		 Reduce fugitive dust from roads and parking areas with the use of paving or other materials. 	
		5. Use a San Luis Obispo Air Pollution Control District-approved suppressant on private unpaved roads leading to the site, unpaved driveways, and parking areas applied at a rate and frequency that ensures compliance with San Luis Obispo Air Pollution Control District Rule 401: Visible Emissions and that off-site nuisance impacts do not occur.	
		 Incorporate traffic calming modifications to project roads to reduce vehicle speeds and increase pedestrian and bicycle usage and safety. 	
		7. Work with San Luis Obispo Council of Governments to create, improve, or expand an on-site or nearby Park and Ride lot with car parking, and bike lockers, and electric vehicle (EV) charging stations in proportion to the size of the project. The Park and Ride lot proposed as part of the Dana Reserve Specific Plan could meet the requirements of this measure, if upon review of final design plans, the County and San Luis Obispo Council of Governments concur that the on-site Park and Ride lot is in proportion to the size of the Dana Reserve Specific Plan project.	
		 Implement on-site circulation design elements in parking lots to reduce vehicle queuing and improve the pedestrian environment. 	
		 Require future commercial land uses to provide employee lockers and showers to promote bicycle and pedestrian use. One shower and five lockers for every 25 employees is recommended. 	
		 Increase bicycle accessibility and safety in the vicinity of the project; for example, provide interconnected bicycle routes/lanes or construction of bikeways. 	
		 Provide on-site bicycle parking: both short-term racks and long-term lockers, or a locked room with standard racks and access limited to bicyclists only. 	
		12. If the project is located on an established transit route, provide improved public transit amenities (e.g., covered transit turnouts, direct pedestrian access, bicycle racks, covered bench, smart signage, route information displays, lighting, EV charging stations, etc.).	

Project Component	Impacts		Mitigation Measures	Residual Impacts
		13.	Encourage commercial land uses to provide a bicycle-share program.	
		14.	Require 15% of fleet vehicles owned by commercial land uses to be zero- emission vehicles (ZEVs). This requirement shall apply to commercial land uses and fleets based on-site within the Specific Plan Area and not on a larger scale for commercial operations that occur at multiple locations.	
		15.	Encourage neighborhood electric vehicles/car-share program for the development.	
		16.	Provide dedicated parking for carpools, vanpools, and/or high-efficiency vehicles to meet or exceed California Green Building Standards Tier 2 for nonresidential land uses.	
		17.	Work with SLO Regional Rideshare to educate occupants with alternative transportation and smart commute information (e.g., transportation board, electronic kiosk, new hire packets, web portal, newsletters, social media, etc.)	
		18.	Encourage nonresidential land uses to implement and promote programs to reduce employee vehicle miles traveled (e.g., incentives, SLO Regional Rideshare trip reduction program, vanpools, on-site employee housing, alternative schedules (e.g., 9/80s, 4/10s, telecommuting, satellite work sites, etc.).	
		19.	Community event centers (i.e., amphitheaters, theaters, and stadiums) shall provide free valet bicycle parking.	
		20.	Meet or exceed applicable building standards at the time of development for providing electric vehicle charging infrastructure.	
		21.	Meet or exceed applicable building standards at the time of development for building energy efficiency with a goal of achieving zero net energy (ZNE) buildings.	
		22.	Implement a "No Idling" vehicle program, which includes signage enforcement, etc.	
		23.	Meet or exceed applicable building standards at the time of development for utilizing recycled content materials.	
		24.	Meet or exceed applicable building standards at the time of development for reducing cement use in the concrete mix as allowed by local ordinance and conditions.	
		25.	Meet or exceed applicable building standards at the time of development for the use of greywater, rainwater, or recycled water.	
		26.	Meet or exceed applicable building standards at the time of development for water conservation (e.g., use of low-flow fixtures, water-efficient irrigation systems, drought-tolerant landscaping).	
		27.	Meet or exceed applicable building standards at the time of development for using shading, trees, plants, cool roofs, etc. to reduce the "heat island" effect.	

Project Component	Impacts	Mitigation Measures	Residual Impacts	
		 All built-in appliances shall comply with California Title 20, Appliance Efficiency Regulation. 		
		29. Utilize on-site renewable energy systems (e.g., solar, wind, geothermal, biomass and/or biogas) sufficient to meet or exceed applicable building standards at the time of development with a goal of achieving zero net energy (ZNE) buildings.		
		 Design roof trusses to handle dead weight loads of standard solar-heated water and photovoltaic panels. 		
Off-Site Improvements	AQ Impact 4: Off-site improvements could result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of criteria pollutants in exceedance of established SLOAPCD emissions thresholds.	Implement Mitigation Measures AQ/mm-3.1 and AQ/mm-3.2.	Residual impacts would be less than significant with mitigation (Class II)	
Specific Plan Area	AQ Impact 5: The project could expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations.	Implement Mitigation Measures AQ/mm-3.1 and AQ/mm-3.2.	Residual impacts	
		AQ/mm-5.1: The following mitigation measures shall be implemented to reduce long-term exposure to localized pollutant concentrations:	would be less than significant	
		1. Sensitive land uses, including, but not limited to, residential dwellings, childcare facilities, and convalescent care facilities, shall be oriented as far from U.S. Route 101 as possible and shall not be located within 500 feet of the edge of pavement of U.S. Route 101 (see Figure 2 of Environmental Impact Report Appendix D). In the event future development proposals include sensitive land uses within the 500-foot buffer from U.S. Route 101, those sensitive land uses shall be disallowed unless a detailed Health Risk Assessment, approved by the County and San Luis Obispo Air Pollution Control District, documents that health risks associated with proximity to U.S. Route 101 would be within acceptable thresholds in effect at the time development is proposed.	with mitigation (Class II)	
Off-Site Improvements	AQ Impact 6: Off-site improvements could expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations.	Implement Mitigation Measures AQ/mm-3.1 and AQ/mm-3.2.	Residual impacts would be less than significant with mitigation (Class II)	
Specific Plan Area	AQ Impact 7: The project could result in other emissions (such as those leading to odors) that may adversely affect a substantial number of people.	Implement Mitigation Measures AQ/mm-3.1, AQ/mm-3.2, and AQ/mm-5.1. AQ/mm-7.1: Prior to any grading activities, a geologic evaluation shall be conducted to determine if naturally occurring asbestos is present within the area that will be disturbed. If naturally occurring asbestos is not present, an exemption request must be filed with the San Luis Obispo Air Pollution Control District. If naturally occurring asbestos is found at the site, the applicant must comply with all requirements outlined in the Asbestos Airborne Toxic Control Measure for Construction, Grading, Quarrying, and Surface Mining Operations (ATCM). These requirements may include but are not limited to:	Residual impacts would be less than significant with mitigation (Class II)	

Project Component	Impacts	Mitigation Measures	Residual Impacts
		 Development of an Asbestos Dust Mitigation Plan, which must be approved by the San Luis Obispo Air Pollution Control District before operations begin; and 	
		Development and approval of an Asbestos Health and Safety Program (required for some projects).	
Off-Site Improvements	AQ Impact 8: Off-site improvements could result in other emissions (such as those leading to odors) that may adversely affect a substantial number of people.	Implement Mitigation Measures AQ/mm-3.1, AQ/mm-3.2, and AQ/mm-7.1.	Residual impacts would be less than significant with mitigation (Class II)
Cumulative	AQ Impact 9: The project would result in cumulatively considerable impacts related to air quality.	Implement Mitigation Measures AQ/mm-3.3 and TR/mm-3.1.	Residual impacts would be significant and unavoidable (Class I)
Biological Resour	ces		
Specific Plan Area	BIO Impact 1: The project could directly or indirectly impact special-status plant and wildlife species.	BIO/mm-1.1: Environmental Monitor. Prior to permit issuance for any future development within the project area (including within the Specific Plan Area and offsite improvement areas), the applicant shall retain an environmental monitor for all measures requiring environmental mitigation. The monitor shall be responsible for:	Residual impacts would be significant and unavoidable
		 ensuring that procedures for verifying compliance with environmental mitigations are implemented; 	(Class I)
		establishing lines of communication and reporting methods;	
		conducting compliance reporting;	
		 conducting construction crew training regarding environmentally sensitive areas and protected species; 	
		5. maintaining authority to stop work; and	
		6. outlining actions to be taken in the event of non-compliance.	
		Monitoring shall be conducted full time during the initial disturbances (site clearing) and be reduced to monthly following initial disturbances.	
		BIO/mm-1.2: Worker Environmental Training Program. Prior to implementation of construction activities (including staging and mobilization), all personnel associated with project construction shall attend a training to facilitate worker environmental awareness. The Worker Environmental Training shall be conducted by a County-approved qualified biologist to help workers recognize special-status plants and animals to be protected in the project area. The training program shall include:	
		 Identification of relevant sensitive species and habitats. 	
		Description of the regulatory status and general ecological characteristics of sensitive resources, and review of the limits of construction and	

Project Component	Impacts	Mitigation Measures	Residual Impacts
		avoidance measures required to reduce impacts to biological resources within the work area.	
		3. Consequences for non-compliance.	
		 Fact sheet with information covered in training for distribution to all contractors and other personnel involved with construction of the project. 	
		 Web-link to maps showing locations of special-status taxa on-site, and literature and photographs or illustrations of sensitive plants, animals, and habitats. 	
		Documentation of each employee's participation in trainings and information presented.	
		Annual renewal training for the duration of the project.	
		The contractor shall set aside time for the project biologist to provide the Worker Environmental Training for all contractor's and subcontractor's employees that will be on-site regarding resource protection. Topics will include regulatory framework and best practices to avoid and minimize impacts to protected plants, protected animals, and their habitats. Approximately 30 minutes shall be allocated for training. Each group of new personnel or individuals shall be provided with an environmental briefing by the project biologist. This training may be virtual. During morning safety briefings, the project biologist may provide updates related to environmental conditions affected by scheduled actions.	
		Contractor's and subcontractor's employees will be given a pocket-sized booklet by the project biologist in digital and/or paper format summarizing the Worker Environmental Training. The booklet prepared by the project biologist will include points of contact and protocol regarding emergencies and protected resource matters. Contractor's and subcontractor's employees shall be familiar with the information in the booklet and shall follow all rules and directions in the booklet while performing work for the project. Contractor's and subcontractor's employees shall always have a copy of the booklet while on the project site.	
		BIO/mm-1.3: Cover Excavations. During construction, all trenches, holes, and other excavations with sidewalls steeper than a 1:1 (45 degree) slope and 2 or more feet deep shall be covered when workers or equipment are not actively working in the excavation. If any such excavations remain uncovered, they shall have an escape ramp of earth or a non-slip material with a 1:1 (45 degree) slope or flatter. All excavated areas shall be inspected for wildlife before backfilling.	
		BIO/mm-1.4: Biodegradable Erosion Control. During construction, use erosion control products made of natural fiber (biodegradable) to prevent wildlife from getting ensnared or strangled by monofilament, coir rolls, erosion control mats or blankets, straw or fiber wattles, or similar erosion control products.	
		BIO/mm-1.5: Public Education Program. In support of the mitigation measures listed above, public education shall be provided to homeowners, commercial facility owners, and investors regarding protected plants, protected animals, and their habitat. A colorful booklet shall be distributed to homeowners, commercial owners, and occupants. Information in the booklet shall also be made available as an interactive website provided to the County of San Luis Obispo and the Homeowners'	

Project Component	Impacts	Mitigation Measures	Residual Impacts
		Association(s). Information shall include descriptions of sensitive plant and animal habitats impacted, protected, and mitigations implemented. Diagnostic information for sensitive plant and animal taxa and their habitats shall be provided in a reader-friendly format. Booklet and website text shall be prepared by technical experts and produced in cooperation with professional graphic artists and publication specialists.	
		BIO/mm-1.6: Prohibition of Invasive Plants. The landscape architect shall provide a signed statement on the landscape plans that the planting plan does not include any plant that occurs on the California Exotic Pest Plant Council and the California Invasive Plant Council (Cal-IPC) Lists 1, 2, and 4. Plants considered to be invasive by the California Exotic Pest Plant Council and the Cal-IPC shall not be used onsite.	
Specific Plan Area	BIO Impact 2: The project could directly and	Implement Mitigation Measures BIO/mm-1.1 through BIO/mm-1.6.	Residual impacts
	indirectly impact Pismo clarkia.	BIO/mm-2.1: Incidental Take Permit. Prior to any ground or vegetation disturbance that would impact Pismo clarkia (e.g., nearby tree removal, grading), the project applicant shall obtain all necessary approvals from the California Department of Fish and Wildlife. Concurrence shall be provided by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife that the project would result in take of a state-listed species and that an Incidental Take Permit, Conservation Easement, and Habitat Management Plan are required prior to disturbance under California Fish and Game Code Section 2081. A conservation easement over the Pismo clarkia habitat will include the California Department of Fish and Wildlife as a third-party beneficiary and may also include the County of San Luis Obispo.	would be less than significant with mitigation (Class II)
		BIO/mm-2.2: Avoidance. Pismo clarkia patches identified on-site during 2019 and 2020 surveys shall be avoided to the maximum extent practicable.	
		Immediately prior to construction, appropriately timed surveys will be conducted by a qualified biologist to determine the extent of the distribution of plants during the construction year. The extant population boundaries mapped in 2019 and 2020, plus any expansions observed during surveys conducted in the year of construction, will be flagged by a qualified biologist.	
		BIO/mm-2.3: Mitigation. Impacts to Pismo clarkia shall be mitigated at a 3:1 ratio of reoccupied habitat to occupied habitat impacted. The population extent and number of plants impacted will be equal to or will not exceed 0.02 acre and/or 40 individuals when seasonal climate conditions are similar to 2020 climate conditions. Additional surveys shall be conducted in 2022 and in the year immediately prior to construction to determine population size and the extent of impacts. In years less favorable than 2020 (appropriately timed and sufficient rainfall and temperature), the areal extent will remain the same.	
		Impacts to individual Pismo clarkia plants will occur after seed collection. On-site seed collection of remaining populations used to reestablish additional populations shall be limited to no more than 10% of each remaining patch. The topsoil of impacted patches will be collected prior to site grading in order to preserve the seed bank. Topsoil will be relocated to suitable unoccupied habitat areas to promote the expansion of occupied habitat.	

Project Component	Impacts	Mitigation Measures	Residual Impacts
		Using seeds collected from the impacted population and preserved populations on- site, additional patches of the plant shall be reestablished at a 3:1 ratio along appropriate boundaries of preserved oak woodland habitat areas.	
		A protective conservation easement shall be placed over on-site habitats that contain occupied and unoccupied habitat suitable for Pismo clarkia.	
		Genetic analysis will be conducted to determine the similarity or difference between the population of Pismo clarkia on the Dana Reserve with at least two other populations in the Arroyo Grande region. This research and findings will be submitted to a peer reviewed journal and be part of the public record during the mitigation monitoring period.	
Specific Plan Area	BIO Impact 3: The project could directly and indirectly impact mesa horkelia, Nipomo Mesa	Implement Mitigation Measures BIO/mm-1.1 through BIO/mm-1.6, BIO/mm 14.1, and BIO/mm 15.1.	Residual impacts would be less
	ceanothus, and sand mesa manzanita.	BIO/mm-3.1: Mitigation for Plants Ranked 1B (Rare or Endangered) by the California Native Plant Society. Mitigation shall seek to achieve no net loss of individual plants within affected plant populations. Due to the highly endemic nature of the plant taxa being impacted and the loss of a significant portion of occupied habitat within their limited range, mitigation to offset impacts shall include a combination of preservation of existing populations either on- or off-site at a 1:1 ratio of individuals impacted to individuals preserved and the restoration of suitable habitat at a 2:1 ratio of individuals impacted to individuals restored and/or creation of high quality habitat at a 0.5:1 ratio that contains a 1:1 ratio of individuals. Prior to issuance of the grading permit, the applicant shall secure appropriate habitat or previously disturbed land suitable for habitat creation. Appropriate mitigation areas shall provide sufficient with known populations of mesa horkelia, Nipomo Mesa ceanothus, and sand mesa manzanita and enough-suitable habitat to reestablish 14,000 mesa horkelia, 100 Nipomo Mesa ceanothus, and 626 sand mesa manzanita.	than significant with mitigation (Class II)
		The applicant shall also prepare and begin implementation of a Habitat Mitigation and Monitoring Plan to preserve and expand patches of mesa horkelia, Nipomo Mesa ceanothus, and sand mesa manzanita on- and off-site. The Habitat Mitigation and Monitoring Plan shall be prepared by a qualified individual acceptable to the Director of Planning and Building and shall conform to California Native Plant Society mitigation guidelines (California Native Plant Society 1998). Habitat Mitigation and Monitoring Plan implementation must demonstrate a trajectory toward successful mitigation (i.e., meeting annual performance criteria) prior to occupancy of the last phase. To meet the County of San Luis Obispo's policy of No Net Loss, any enhanced and/or created habitat would need to confirm establishment of individuals and suitable/occupied habitat such that there is no net loss of plant populations. Maintenance, monitoring, and reporting to the County of San Luis Obispo would be required until the enhanced/created habitat has successfully established individuals at the required 2:1 ratio.	
		Measures within the Habitat Mitigation and Monitoring Plan shall include salvaging plant and seed material from impacted populations, habitat protection, herbicide avoidance, fencing, and propagation of pollinator plants appropriate to support native bees associated with pollination of these plants.	

establish populations in and relocation of the roo are known resprout from Monitoring Plan shall al storage of salvaged ma In addition to direct hab Public Benefit restoration monitored by organizati Land Conservancy, Gre pay for mitigation planti Material salvaged on-si efforts where possible. Measures to protect ann mesa manzanita within Site Oak Woodland Hal indirectly impact CRPR 4 and Watch List plant species, including California spineflower, sand buck brush, and sand almond. BIO Impact 4: The project could directly and indirectly impact CRPR 4 and Watch List plant species, including California spineflower, sand buck brush, and sand almond. Implement Mitigation M and BIO/mm 15.1. BIO/mm-4.1: Mitigatio Watch List) by the Cal enhancement of 45-acr spineflower, sand buck plant populations at a 1 existing habitat is pursue to planting of 500,000 plant sustainable habitat coman off-site property cap the grading permit, one and/or off-site habitat for shall be prepared. The to the Director of Planni plan(s) shall include pure and/or restoration of apsuitability for California shall conform to Californi	Mitigation Measures	Residual Impacts
Public Benefit restoration monitored by organizati Land Conservancy, Gre pay for mitigation planting deforts where possible. Measures to protect and mess manzanita within Site Oak Woodland Hall Specific Plan Area BIO Impact 4: The project could directly and indirectly impact CRPR 4 and Watch List plant species, including California spineflower, sand buck brush, and sand almond. Implement Mitigation M and BIO/mm 15.1. BIO/mm-4.1: Mitigation Watch List) by the Cal enhancement of 45 acr spineflower, sand buck plant populations at a 1 existing habitat is pursuratio of 2:1 above the 11 spineflower, the application of 12:1 above the 11 spineflower, the application of 15:1 above the 11 spineflower, sand buck plant populations at a 1 existing habitat consumity of 5:1 above the 11 spineflower, the application of 15:1 above the 11 spineflower, sand buck plant populations at a 1 existing habitat is pursuration of 2:1 above the 11 spineflower, sand buck plant populations at a 1 existing habitat consumity of 15:1 above the 11 spineflower, sand buck plant populations at a 1 existing habitat consumity of 15:1 above the 11 spineflower, sand buck plant populations at a 1 existing habitat consumity of 15:1 above the 11 spineflower, sand buck plant populations at a 1 existing habitat consumity of 15:1 above the 11 spineflower, sand buck plant populations at a 1 existing habitat consumity of 15:1 above the 11 spineflower, sand buck plant populations at a 1 existing habitat consumity of	seed material shall be salvaged and used to enhance or otected habitat areas. This should include the excavation burls of sand mesa manzanita where practical since they burls as well as from seed. The Habitat Mitigation and establish a mitigation receptor site for the long term ial.	
Specific Plan Area BIO Impact 4: The project could directly and indirectly impact CRPR 4 and Watch List plant species, including California spineflower, sand buck brush, and sand almond. Implement Mitigation M and BIO/mm 15.1. BIO/mm-4.1: Mitigation Watch List) by the Calenhancement of 45 acres spineflower, sand buck plant populations at a 1 existing habitat is pursuratio of 2:1 above the 11 spineflower, the application of through a combination of planting of 500,000 planting of	t preservation and/or creation, the applicant may also fund efforts on conserved land to be implemented and s such as The Nature Conservancy, San Luis Obisponspace, or Cambria Land Trust. The fee would be used to maintenance, and long-term monitoring in perpetuity. should be incorporated into these mitigation planting	
indirectly impact CRPR 4 and Watch List plant species, including California spineflower, sand buck brush, and sand almond. BIO/mm-4.1: Mitigatio Watch List) by the Calenhancement of 45 acrespineflower, sand buck plant populations at a 1 existing habitat is pursuratio of 2:1 above the 10 spineflower, the application of 10 planting of 500,000 pl	expand mesa horkelia, Nipomo Mesa ceanothus, and sand offected oak woodland shall also be incorporated in the Onat Protection and Management Plan.	
on conserved land to be Nature Conservancy, <u>T</u> Conservancy , Greensp for mitigation planting, r	sures BIO/mm-1.1 through BIO/mm-1.6, BIO/mm 14.1, for Plants Ranked CRPR 4 (Limited Distribution — fornia Native Plant Society. Restoration and/or of conserved sandy-habitat suitable for California sush, and sand almond shall occur to mitigate for impacts to ratio above the 10% impact threshold. If conservation of as an alternative or complementary mitigation strategy, a impact threshold shall be employed. For California may accomplish adequate mitigation using these ratios on-site and off-site mitigation involving (1) the successful on the project site sufficient to achieve thriving ons or (2) the purchase of a conservation easement over e of supporting a dense population. Prior to issuance of more a-plans to conserve, enhance, and/or restore on-site california spineflower, sand buck brush, and sand almond un(s) shall be prepared by a qualified individual acceptable and Building and approved prior to implementation. The lase for conservation of land containing impacted species eximately 45 acres of grassland-habitat with high microsite ineflower, sand buck brush, and sand almond. The plan Native Plant Society guidelines for mitigation (California)—The applicant may fund Public Benefit restoration efforts inplemented and monitored by organizations such as The Land Conservancy of San Luis Obispo CountyLand e, or Cambria Land Trust. The funds would be used to pay intenance, and long-term monitoring in perpetuity.	Residual impacts would be significant and unavoidable (Class I)

Project Component	Impacts	Mitigation Measures	Residual Impacts
		conservation is employed as an alternative or complementary strategy, the required ratio shall be 2:1. California spineflower shall be seeded in grassland-habitat managed by mowing or grazing in a manner than supports spineflower reproduction in normal rainfall years. Plant material shall be derived from sources on the Nipomo Mesa.	
		Habitat protection and long-term maintenance shall be funded by an endowment sufficient to monitor and maintain habitat appropriate to attempt reestablishment or expansion of California spineflower on the restoration site. If any plants required to be mitigated by this section are delisted, mitigation requirements shall no longer apply. BIO/mm-4.2: Michael's Rein Orchid. Measures to avoid and protect Michael's rein	
		orchid in on-site oak woodland areas proposed for protection shall be incorporated into an on-site Habitat Mitigation and Monitoring Plan. Since all observed individuals of Michael's rein orchid are located directly south of Pismo clarkia Patch 3, this species shall incidentally benefit from being included in Mitigation Measure BIO/mm 2.3. Construction workers and biological monitors shall also be made aware of and instructed to avoid this orchid during monitoring for Pismo clarkia (Mitigation Measures BIO/mm-2.1 and BIO-mm/2.2).	
Specific Plan Area	BIO Impact 5: The project could indirectly impact monarch butterflies.	Implement Mitigation Measures BIO/mm-1.1 through BIO/mm-1.6. BIO/mm-5.1: Monarch Butterfly Preconstruction Survey. Preconstruction surveys of potential monarch butterfly overwintering habitat on site or adjacent to the site shall be conducted by a qualified monarch butterfly biologist beginning October 1 and continuing through February. If site disturbance is proposed within 200 feet of potential monarch butterfly overwintering locations during the aggregation season (October 1–February), surveys shall be conducted from the Dana Reserve and/or public roads for three mornings at least 1 week prior to planned disturbance. If clustering monarch butterflies are observed, site disturbance and construction activity within 200 feet of monarch butterfly overwintering habitat shall be prohibited while monarch butterflies are in an overwintering aggregation. A 200-foot buffer shall be installed with T-posts and rope and labelled as Environmentally Sensitive Habitat every 75 to 100 feet. If monarch butterflies are observed in overwintering aggregation, monitoring shall be conducted during daily active construction visits to document numbers and assure that no disturbance of the aggregation is caused by construction. Site disturbance and construction activity adjacent to suitable monarch butterfly overwintering habitat shall be avoided during the monarch butterflies' fall and winter migration (late October through February) to the greatest extent feasible. If tree or vegetation removal or site disturbance is necessary during the monarch butterflies' fall and winter migration, a qualified biologist shall conduct a preconstruction survey for monarch butterflies that could utilize trees on the site for overwintering. If monarch butterflies are detected, development will be postponed until after the overwintering period or until a qualified biologist determines monarch butterflies are no longer utilizing the trees on site for overwintering.	Residual impacts would be less than significant with mitigation (Class II)

Project Component	Impacts		Mitigation Measures	Residual Impacts
Specific Plan Area	BIO Impact 6: The project could directly and indirectly impact northern California legless lizards and Blainville's horned lizards.		ent Mitigation Measures BIO/mm-1.1 through BIO/mm-1.6, BIO/mm-14.1, 15.1, and BIO/mm-18.4.	Residual impacts would be less
		issuance Reptile I horned I for reloce include a habitat a relocatio suitable	n-6.1: Special-Status Reptiles Protection and Relocation. Prior to be of the grading permit, the project applicant shall develop a Special-status Relocation Plan for northern California legless lizard and Blainville's (coast) izard. The goal of the relocation plan is to establish guidelines and protocols ating special-status reptiles out of harm's way. The relocation plan shall an overview of prior surveys for the species, figures of known and potential areas, timing of relocation efforts, and details regarding capture and on methods. Additionally, the relocation plan shall identify and characterize on-site relocation sites for each species. The following details shall be ally incorporated and expanded upon in the relocation plan:	than significant with mitigation (Class II)
		1.	Relocation surveys for special-status reptiles shall be conducted during appropriate times of year when the species are active and can be located. Subject to expert refinement in the relocation plan, legless lizard cover board and raking surveys shall be conducted between January and July. Because legless lizards are not expected to move back into work areas after relocation, these surveys can be done well in advance of earthwork. Horned lizard surveys shall be conducted on warm days in April through August, immediately prior to commencement of earthwork. The relocation plan shall require a minimum of three surveys conducted during the time of year/day when each species is most likely to be observed.	
		2.	Relocation surveys for legless lizards shall utilize a combination of cover boards and soil raking to find lizards in suitable habitat areas prior to commencement of earthwork activities. Relocation surveys for horned lizards shall be completed by pedestrian transects on warm days utilizing narrow spacing to visually search for lizards on the surface of the soil. Special-status reptiles shall be captured by hand, stored in suitable wildlife relocation bins, and immediately relocated to approved habitat.	
		3.	The relocation plan shall identify suitable legless lizard relocation habitat as any sandy soil area with suitable leaf litter under shrub or oak tree canopy. For horned lizard, suitable relocation habitat shall be identified as that which has friable soils, a detectable prey source, and sandy barrens for burrowing and basking.	
		4.	The Special-Status Reptile Relocation Plan shall be submitted to the County of San Luis Obispo and California Department of Fish and Wildlife for approval no less than 60 days prior to any ground-disturbing activities within potentially occupied habitat.	
		5.	A qualified biologist shall be present during ground-disturbing activities immediately adjacent to or within habitat that supports special-status reptiles.	
		6.	Clearance surveys for special-status reptiles shall be conducted by a qualified biologist prior to the initiation of ground-disturbing construction	

Project Component	Impacts	Mitigation Measures	Residual Impacts
		each day, especially along the interface between open space and construction areas.	
		7. Results of the surveys and relocation efforts shall be provided to the County of San Luis Obispo and California Department of Fish and Wildlife in the annual mitigation status report. Collection and relocation of animals shall only occur with a Scientific Collecting Permit per Title 14 of the California Code of Regulations Section 650 the necessary scientific collection and handling permits.	
Specific Plan Area	BIO Impact 7: The project could directly and indirectly impact special-status birds, raptors,	Implement Mitigation Measures BIO/mm-1.1 through BIO/mm-1.6, BIO/mm-14.1, BIO/mm-15.1, and BIO/mm-18.4.	Residual impacts would be less
	and nesting birds.	BIO/mm-7.1: Nesting Bird Preconstruction Survey and Nest Avoidance. Within 10 days 1 week prior to ground-disturbing activities, if work occurs between February 1 and September 15, nesting bird surveys shall be conducted. Surveys shall include a sufficient buffer area around the project area, as determined by a qualified biologist, respecting private property rights and access requirements. A sufficient buffer shall mean any area potentially affected by the project. If surveys do not locate nesting birds, construction activities may begin. If nesting birds are located, no construction activities shall occur within 250 feet of nests or within 500 feet of raptors until chicks have fledged. The project biologist may recommend a buffer decrease depending on site conditions (such as line-of-sight to the nest and whether there are visual or acoustic barriers between the proposed activity and the nest), consideration of the natural history of the species of bird nesting, the proposed activity level adjacent to the nest, and the birds' level of tolerance for construction activities. The biologist shall collect data on the birds' baseline behavior and their tolerance to disturbance by observing the birds at the nest prior to construction activities. If the birds are incubating, the biologist shall record how long they stay in the nest. If nestlings are present, the biologist shall record how long frequently adults deliver food and visit the nest. The biologist shall record the birds' reaction to the biologist and how close the biologist can get to the nest before the birds' behavior is altered or they show signs of stress or disturbance. The biologist shall set the reduced buffer distance based on these data. Nesting bird buffers may be reduced up to 50 feet, while raptor nest buffers may be reduced up to 250 feet. If nest buffers are reduced, the biologist shall monitor any construction activities that take place within 100 feet of nesting birds and 500 feet of raptor nests. If nesting birds show any signs of disturban	than significant with mitigation (Class II)
		If occupied nests of fully protected raptor are located within the Specific Plan Area or within any areas within 0.5 mile of the Specific Plan Area, a 0.5 mile no-disturbance buffer shall be implemented. Surveys of fully protected raptor outside of the Specific Plan Area shall only be required in areas the qualified biologist determines contain suitable habitat for raptor. If the 0.5-mile no-disturbance buffer cannot be implemented, the Environmental Monitor shall contact the California Department of Fish and Wildlife to identify additional avoidance measures.	

Project Component	Impacts	Mitigation Measures	Residual Impacts
		Preconstruction surveys for burrowing owl shall follow the California Burrowing Owl Consortium's Burrowing Owl Survey Protocol and Mitigation Guidelines (California Burrowing Owl Consortium 1993) and California Department of Fish and Wildlife's Staff Report on Burrowing Owl Mitigation (California Department of Fish and Wildlife 2012). In the event a burrowing owl is located, no-disturbance buffers shall be implemented as outlined in the Staff Report on Burrowing Owl Mitigation unless a qualified biologist approved by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife verifies through non-invasive methods that (1) the birds have not begun egg laying and incubation or (2) that juveniles from the occupied burrows are foraging independently and capable of independent survival.	
Specific Plan Area	BIO Impact 8: Project activities, including tree removal, have the potential to impact special-status bat species and roosting bats.	Implement Mitigation Measures BIO/mm-1.1 through BIO/mm-1.6, BIO/mm-14.1, BIO/mm-15.1, and BIO/mm-18.4. BIO/mm-8.1: Bat Preconstruction Surveys and Passive Relocation. Within 30 days of construction between April and September, structures and trees or snags to be removed or pruned that are greater than 20 inches diameter at breast height shall be inspected for bats. If a bat roost is found, the qualified biologist shall implement passive relocation measures, such as installation of one-way valves. Bat maternity colonies may not be disturbed.	Residual impacts would be less than significant with mitigation (Class II)
	BIO Impact 9: The proposed project could directly impact American badger.	Implement Mitigation Measures BIO/mm-1.1 through BIO/mm-1.6. BIO/mm-9.1: Badger Den Preconstruction Survey and Relocation. Preconstruction surveys shall be conducted within 30 days of beginning work on the site to identify if badgers are using proposed work areas. Survey results shall be submitted to the County with monthly construction update reports. If suitable American badger dens are identified within the disturbance footprint, den	Residual impacts would be less than significant with mitigation (Class II)
		openings shall be monitored with tracking medium or an infrared camera for 3 consecutive nights to determine current use. If the den is not in use, the den shall be excavated and collapsed to ensure that no animals are present during construction. If the den is occupied during the non-maternity period and avoidance is not feasible, badgers may be relocated by first incrementally blocking the den over a 3-day period, followed by slowly excavating the den (either by hand or with mechanized equipment under the direct supervision of a qualified biologist, removing no more than 4 inches at a time) before or after the rearing season (February 15–June 30). Passive relocation of American badgers shall be conducted under the direction of a qualified biologist.	
		If the preconstruction survey finds potential badger dens, the dens shall be inspected by the project biologist to determine whether they are occupied. If a potential badger den is too long to completely inspect from the entrance, a fiber optic scope may be used to examine the den to the end. Inactive dens may be excavated by hand with a shovel to prevent reuse of dens during construction. If badgers occupy active dens in proposed work areas between February and July, nursing young may be present.	
		To avoid disturbance and the possibility of direct impacts to adults and nursing young, and to prevent badgers from becoming trapped in burrows during construction activity, American badger dens determined to be occupied during the	

Project Component	Impacts	Mitigation Measures	Residual Impacts
		breeding season (February 15–June 30) shall be flagged. Between February and July, no grading or ground-disturbing activities shall occur within 100 feet of active badger dens to protect adults and nursing young. Buffers may be modified by the qualified biologist, provided the badgers are protected, and buffers only removed after the qualified biologist determines that the den is no longer in use.	
		If a potential den is located outside of the disturbance footprint but within 500 feet of ground-disturbing activities (including staging areas), dens shall be avoided by installation of highly visible orange construction fencing a minimum of 100 feet from the den, designating the area an Environmentally Sensitive Area. Fencing shall be installed in a manner that allows badgers to move through the fencing at-will. No equipment, vehicles, or personnel shall be permitted within Environmentally Sensitive Areas without clear permission from a qualified biologist.	
Off-Site Improvements	BIO Impact 10: The development of the North Frontage Road Extension Parcel could directly or indirectly impact special-status plant and wildlife species.	Implement Mitigation Measures BIO/mm-1.1 through BIO/mm-1.6, BIO/mm-2.1 through BIO/mm-2.3, BIO/mm-3.1, BIO/mm-4.1 and 4.2, BIO/mm-5.1, BIO/mm-6.1, BIO/mm-7.1, BIO/mm-8.1, and BIO/mm-9.1.	Residual impacts would be less than significant with mitigation (Class II)
Off-Site Improvements	BIO Impact 11: Off-site transportation, water, and wastewater improvements could directly or indirectly impact monarch butterfly, sharpshinned hawk, Cooper's hawk, white-tailed kite, and other nesting birds.	Implement Mitigation Measures BIO/mm 1.1 through BIO/mm-1.6, BIO/mm-2.1 through BIO/mm-2.3, BIO/mm-3.1, BIO/mm-4.1 and 4.2, BIO/mm-5.1, BIO/mm-6.1, BIO/mm-7.1, BIO/mm-8.1, BIO/mm-9.1, and BIO/mm-12.1.	Residual impacts would be less than significant with mitigation (Class II)
Off-Site Improvements	BIO Impact 12: Off-site NCSD water improvements could directly or indirectly impact California red-legged frog, western pond turtle, and two-striped gartersnake.	Implement Mitigation Measures BIO/mm-1.1 through BIO/mm-1.6. BIO/mm-12.1: California Red-Legged Frog, Western Pond Turtle, and Two-Striped Gartersnake Surveys and Relocation. All work areas within 100 feet of known California red-legged frog habitat shall be surveyed by a qualified biologist each day prior to the initiation of construction activities. As necessary, the qualified biologist shall physically relocate semiaquatic, special-status species (e.g., western pond turtle, two-striped gartersnake, etc.) and common semi-aquatic species (e.g., western toad, Pacific chorus frog, etc.) to suitable habitat areas (e.g., in Nipomo Creek) located outside the construction zone(s). Exact procedures and protocols for relocation of the special-status species shall be based upon pre-project consultation with the California Department of Fish and Wildlife. In the event a California red-legged frog is identified in a work area, all work shall cease until the California red-legged frog has safely vacated the work area. At no time shall any California red-legged frog be relocated and/or affected by project operations without prior approval from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. In the unlikely event a permit is needed from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service for California red-legged frog, the applicant shall be required to obtain such permit.	Residual impacts would be less than significant with mitigation (Class II)

Project Component	Impacts	Mitigation Measures	Residual Impacts
Off-Site Improvements	BIO Impact 13: Off-site NCSD water improvements could directly or indirectly impact least Bell's vireo and southwestern willow flycatcher.	Implement Mitigation Measures BIO/mm-1.1 through BIO/mm-1.6 and BIO/mm 7.1. BIO/mm-13.1: Nesting Bird Surveys. If construction activities are proposed during the typical nesting bird season (February 1–September 15), a nesting bird survey will be conducted by qualified biologists no more than 2 weeks prior to the start of construction to determine presence/absence of nesting birds within the project area and immediate vicinity (within 100 feet of the Nipomo Creek corridor). The County of San Luis Obispo will be notified if federally listed nesting bird species are observed during the surveys and the applicant, in coordination with the Nipomo Community Services District, will be responsible for facilitating coordination with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, if necessary, to determine an appropriate avoidance strategy. Likewise, coordination with the California Department of Fish and Wildlife will be facilitated by the applicant, in coordination with the Nipomo Community Services District, if necessary, to devise a suitable avoidance plan for state-listed nesting bird species.	Residual impacts would be less than significant with mitigation (Class II)
Specific Plan Area	BIO Impact 14: The project will directly impact Burton Mesa chaparral.	Implement Mitigation Measure BIO/mm-3.1. BIO/mm-14.1: Mitigation for Burton Mesa Chaparral (Arctostaphylos [purissima, rudis] Shrubland Special Stands). Prior to any ground-disturbing activity that would require oak tree removalissuance of the Conditional Use Permit for Oak Tree Removal and Grading/Impervious Surfaces, the applicant shall permanently protect (conserve), enhance (increase suitability of a site as habitat), and/or restore (repair damaged habitat) Burton Mesa chaparral in maritime coastal California at a 2:1 ratio of habitat preserved to habitat lost. This ratio will achieve the "no-net loss" requirement in County of San Luis Obispo Conservation and Open Space Element Policy BR 1.4 of the County of San Luis Obispo Conservation and Open Space Element. Habitat appropriate for restoration will ideally be located on the Nipomo Mesa with climatic and soil conditions that match those found on Dana Reserve.	Residual impacts would be significant and unavoidable (Class I)
		Conservation/enhancement/restoration of habitat areas contiguous with protected/restored Quercus agrifolia / Adenostoma fasciculatum – (Salvia mellifera) habitat shall be prioritized over isolated patches of mitigation. Areas contiguous with other protected maritime chaparral or oak woodland shall also be prioritized over isolated patches of mitigation. Where restoration is proposed, a restoration and enhancement plan approved by the California Department of Fish and Wildlife shall be submitted to the County prior to issuance of the Conditional Use Permit for Oak Tree Removal and Grading/Impervious Surfaces. A conservation easement over protected habitat shall be controlled by a qualified conservation organization approved by the County. Potential conservation organizations include, but are not limited to, The Nature Conservancy, San Luis Obispo Land Conservancy, Greenspace, Cambria Land Trust, or the California Department of Fish and Wildlife. The County of San Luis Obispo shall review and approve additional analysis prior to final approval of any proposed conservation area.	

Project Component	Impacts	Mitigation Measures	Residual Impacts
----------------------	---------	---------------------	---------------------

If appropriate habitat is not available in San Luis Obispo County at a 2:1 ratio, the applicant may fulfill half of this mitigation requirement through restoring Burton Mesa chaparral in Santa Barbara County at an additional 2:1 ratio (e.g., if only 35 acres can be preserved/restored within San Luis Obispo County, then an additional 70 acres would be required to satisfy the mitigation if purchased in Santa Barbara County).

A combination of preservation and restoration at a 2:1 ratio would allow for a no-netloss of cover by Burton Mesa chaparral constituent elements and maintain species diversity within the county. In the event the applicant believes mitigation per the above requirements is not feasible, the applicant shall provide a report documenting the efforts taken to achieve the above standard, the reasons compliance is infeasible, and documentation that sufficiently establishes no additional reasonable mitigation options are feasible. The reasonableness of potential mitigation shall be interpreted in conformance with the standards of "rough proportionality" and "essential nexus" as established in the long-standing United States Supreme Court cases of Nollan v. Coastal Commission (1987) 483 U.S. 825, and Dolan v. City of Tigard (1994) 512 U.S. 374. This report shall be subject to the review and approval of the County of San Luis Obispo based on factors such as but not limited to cost. lack of availability of land, and lack of comparable habitat matrix that can be obtained. In the event the County agrees a combination of preservation and restoration at a 2:1 ratio would be infeasible as defined above, then the applicant shall, at a minimum, mitigate impacts to Burton Mesa chaparral to achieve a performance standard of no net loss of habitat quality. The performance standard shall be achieved through a combination of conserving, enhancing, restoring, and/or re-creating Burton Mesa chaparral removed by the project at the following mitigation ratios:

- Conservation of currently unprotected Burton Mesa chaparral habitat in excellent condition at a 1.5:1 ratio:
- 2. Enhancement of protected Burton Mesa chaparral habitat in moderate to poor condition at a 2:1 ratio:
- 3. Restoration of damaged protected Burton Mesa chaparral habitat at a 0.5:1 ratio: and/or
- Recreate high-quality Burton Mesa chaparral at a 0.25:1 ratio in appropriate habitat that has been completely disturbed (e.g., abandoned farmland).

Based on the 35 acres of Burton Mesa chaparral to be removed by the project, and depending on the mitigation option(s) utilized to mitigate impacts, Burton Mesa chaparral would be mitigated through the conservation, enhancement, restoration, and/or recreation of between 8.75 acres and 70 acres of Burton Mesa chaparral, calculated as follows:

- Conservation of unprotected Burton Mesa chaparral habitat in excellent condition at a 1.5:1 ratio (52.5 acres conserved:35 acres removed);
- Enhancement of protected Burton Mesa chaparral habitat in moderate to poor condition at a 2:1 ratio (70 acres enhanced:35 acres removed);

Project Component	Impacts	Mitigation Measures	Residual Impacts
		Restoration of damaged protected Burton Mesa chaparral habitat at a 0.5:1 ratio (17.5 acres restored:35 acres removed); and/or Recreate high-quality Burton Mesa chaparral at a 0.25:1 ratio in appropriate habitat that has been completely disturbed (8.75 acres recreated:35 acres removed). Other outcomes would be possible, depending on how conservation, enhancement, restoration, and recreation strategies are pursued and combined to meet the intent of this measure; however, under any scenario, final mitigation shall avoid any net loss of habitat quality. Documentation establishing an actionable plan to comply with this measure shall be provided to the County of San Luis Obispo for review and	
Specific Plan Area	BIO Impact 15: The project will directly impact coast live oak woodland.	BIO/mm-15.1: Off-Site Mitigation for Coast Live Oak Woodland (Quercus agrifolia / Adenostoma fasciculatum – [Salvia mellifera]). Prior to issuance of the Conditional Use Permit for Oak Tree Removal and Grading/Impervious Surfaces, the applicant shall permanently protect (conserve), enhance (increase suitability of a site as habitat), restore (repair damaged habitat), and/or recreate (revegetate previously lost habitat) Quercus agrifolia / Adenostoma fasciculatum – (Salvia mellifera) in coastal California at a 2:1 ratio within the range of Burton Mesa chaparral. This ratio will achieve the "no net lose" requirement in County of San Luis Obispo Conservation and Open Space Element Policy BR 1.4 of the County of San Luis Obispo Conservation and Open Space Element. Conservation/enhancement/ recreation of habitat areas shall be contiguous with mitigation for Burton Mesa chaparral. A combined approach for habitat mitigation shall include the preservation of expanded contiguous habitat of protected Quercus agrifolia / Adenostoma fasciculatum – (Salvia mellifera). However, to comply with Senate Bill 1334, only half the mitigation requirement for loss of coast live oak can be achieved through tree planting as a means of recreation. Where restoration is proposed, a restoration and enhancement plan shall be approved by the County of San Luis Obispo after consultation with the California Department of Fish and Wildlife shall be eubmitted to the County prior to issuance of the grading permit. A conservation organization approved by the County of San Luis Obispo. Potential conservation organization is include, but are not limited to, The Nature Conservancy, The Land Conservancy of San Luis Obispo Land-Conservancy, Greenspace, Cambria Land Trust, or the California Department of Fish and Wildlife. The County of San Luis Obispo shall review and approve additional analysis prior to final approval of the proposed off-site conservation area. Preservation and recreation would allow for a no-net-loss of cover by Quercus agrifolia /	Residual impacts would be significant and unavoidable (Class I)

Project Component	Impacts	Mitigation Measures	Residual Impacts
		responds to the County of San Luis Obispo's request for consultation within 90 days of the request or where the County of San Luis Obispo has attempted to consult with California Department of Fish and Wildlife but California Department of Fish and Wildlife has failed to respond to the County of San Luis Obispo's request within 90 days of the placement of the request.	
Off-Site Improvements	BIO Impact 16: Off-site NCSD water improvements could directly and indirectly impact riparian habitat and sensitive aquatic resources.	Implement Mitigation Measures BIO/mm-1.1 through BIO/mm-1.6 and BIO/mm-11.1. BIO/mm-16.1: Riparian Habitats. The following measures shall be implemented for any grubbing, grading, and other ground-disturbing activities conducted within 100 feet of riparian habitat along Nipomo Creek or its tributaries to avoid potential project-related impacts to these resources and special-status species that may utilize these habitats:	Residual impacts would be less than significant with mitigation (Class II)
		 All construction-related activities must observe a 100-foot setback from the Nipomo Creek riparian corridor, as measured from the outer edge of the riparian canopy. A minimum 50-foot setback shall be observed from the ephemeral drainages and flood channels, as measured from the outer edge of riparian vegetation. 	
		2. If construction-related activities within the 100- or 50-foot buffers from Nipomo Creek or any other surface water resource, to the extent practicable, construction activities shall be conducted during the dry season (typically May 1–November 1), or as specified by resource agency permits and authorizations. This would reduce potential impacts to aquatic and semi-aquatic species that might be using the aquatic habitat and associated riparian vegetation as a movement/dispersal corridor.	
		 Any construction activities conducted within 50 feet of Nipomo Creek, watercourses, pond, and riparian habitat shall be monitored by a qualified biologist. 	
		 If any special-status species are observed, the qualified biologist shall implement the measures described in BIO/mm-1.1 through BIO/mm 1.6 and BIO/mm-11.1. 	
Off-Site Improvements	BIO Impact 17: Off-site NCSD water improvements will directly and indirectly impact aquatic habitats under the jurisdiction of the USACE, CDFW, and RWQCB.	BIO/mm-17.1: Wetland Delineation. Prior to construction in any undeveloped area where surface water resources or wetland indicators are present, the applicant.in coordination with the Nipomo Community Services District. shall retain a qualified biologist to conduct a wetland delineation along the proposed alignment route, including at minimum a 50-foot buffer area and a 100-foot buffer along the Nipomo Creek riparian corridor.	Residual impacts would be less than significant with mitigation (Class II)
		BIO/mm-17.2: Prior to construction within 50 feet of any stream or other surface water resource, the applicant, in coordination with the Nipomo Community Services District, shall prepare project-specific plans for crossings. If construction activities require any earthwork within the banks of the drainages (including beneath the bed of the channel), the applicant, in coordination with the Nipomo Community Services District, shall coordinate with the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, California Department of Fish and Wildlife, and Regional Water Quality Control Board to obtain the appropriate permits for direct impacts to jurisdictional features. The applicant, in	

Project Component	Impacts	Mitigation Measures	Residual Impacts
		<u>coordination with the Nipomo Community Services District, shall implement all preand post-construction conditions identified in the permits issued. The plan shall be submitted to the County and applicable agencies 60 days prior to construction.</u>	
		BIO/mm-17.3: Prior to construction within 50 feet of any stream or other surface water resource, the <u>applicant, in coordination with the Nipomo Community Services District, shall implement the following measures:</u>	
		1. Prior to project implementation, the project area shall be clearly flagged or fenced so that the contractor is aware of the limits of allowable site access and disturbance. Areas within the designated project site that do not require regular access shall be clearly flagged as off-limit areas to avoid unnecessary damage to sensitive habitats or existing vegetation within the project area.	
		2. Prior to project implementation, a project Erosion Control Plan shall be prepared. During project activities, erosion control measures shall be implemented. Silt fencing, fiber rolls, and barriers (e.g., hay bales) shall be installed to establish a minimum 25-foot setback distance between the project impact areas and adjacent wetlands and other waters. At a minimum, silt fencing shall be checked and maintained on a daily basis throughout the construction period.	
		3. Prior to construction, the applicant shall prepare and submit to the Regional Water Quality Control Board or State Water Resources Control Board a Notice of Intent and prepare a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan in accordance with the requirements of the State General Order related to construction projects. The Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan shall identify the selected stormwater management procedures, pollution control technologies, spill response procedures, and other means that will be used to minimize erosion and sediment production and the release of pollutants to surface water during construction. The applicant shall ensure that sedimentation and erosion control measures are installed prior to any ground-disturbing activities.	
		4. Prior to the commencement of site preparation, ground-disturbing, or construction activities, the applicant will identify required best management practices on all construction plans. These practices will be implemented prior to, during, and following construction activities as necessary to ensure their intended efficacy. Measures will include, but not necessarily be limited to, the placement of silt fencing along the downslope side of the construction zone, on-site storage of a spill and clean-up kit at all times, and employment of both temporary and permanent erosion and sedimentation control measures (e.g., silt fencing, hay bales, straw wattles).	
		 During project activities, if work occurring within stream channels is necessary, it shall be conducted during the dry season if possible (typically May 1–November 1). 	
		 Prior to construction, the applicant shall ensure preparation and implementation of a Spill Prevention and Contingency Plan that includes 	

Project Component	Impacts			Mitigation Measures	Residual Impacts	
			areas, in equipme ensure c Prior to t prompt a informed	ns for avoiding and/or minimizing impacts to sensitive habitat cluding wetland and riparian areas and waterbodies due to int-related spills during project implementation. The applicant shall contamination of habitat does not occur during such operations. The onset of work, the applicant shall ensure that the plan allows a and effective response to any accidental spills. All workers shall be to fithe importance of preventing spills and of the appropriate to take should a spill occur. The plan shall include the following ins:		
			a.	All equipment fueling shall be conducted within the designated staging areas of the project site. Such areas shall consist of roadway or ruderal habitat. At no time shall any equipment fueling be conducted within 100 feet of any wetland and riparian habitat area or waterbody.		
			b.	An overview of the containment measures to appropriately store and contain all fuels and associated petroleum products during the project shall be included in the plan. This shall include provisions for equipment staging areas, such as the need for drip pans underneath parked equipment and designated storage areas for fuel dispensing.		
Specific Plan Area	BIO Impact 18: The project will result in direct and indirect impacts to coast live oak woodland, coast live oak forest, and individual oak trees.	issuance Area, a c retained zone sha diamete	e of a gradi qualified ar oaks durir all be estal	pare On-Site Tree Protection Plan for Trees Retained. Prior to ing permit for any future development within the Specific Plan rborist shall prepare a Tree Protection Plan designed to protect any construction. Tree protection guidelines and a root protection plished and implemented for each retained tree over 4 inches the height within 50 feet of site disturbance. The following criteria	Residual impacts would be significant and unavoidable (Class I)	
		1.	habitat fo space us	e Oak Forest Habitat on Dana Reserve. Designate oak forest or open space preservation where limited recreational and open ses may be allowed. Preserve a minimum of 17 acres of oak abitat on-site.		
		2.	50 feet o a County land surv stems, d	d Number Trees to be Retained. Tree canopies and trunks within of proposed disturbance zones shall be mapped and numbered by of San Luis Obispo-approved arborist or biologist and a licensed veyor. Data for each tree shall include date, species, number of iameter at breast height of each stem, critical root zone diameter, diameter, tree height, health, habitat notes, and nests observed.		
			height of abovegro	shall be identified for native oak trees with a diameter at breast 4 inches or greater, as measured at a height of 4.5 feet bound. Impacts include any ground disturbance within the critical e, trunk damage, or any pruning of branches 3 inches in diameter er.		
			tree on a	ed arborist shall determine the critical root zone for each retained a case-by-case basis, generally 1.5 times the average canopy listance from trunk to edge of drip line). For example, a tree with a		

Project Component	Impacts	Mitigation Measures	Residual Impacts
		24-foot-diameter canopy would have a 36-foot critical root zone approximately 18 feet from the trunk. Where the canopy has be prior to evaluation, the critical root zone may be calculated as inch of the tree's diameter at breast height. For example, an 18 diameter at breast height tree would be assigned a 24-foot crit zone. The extent of the critical root zone shall be used as the I tree protection zone, such as the line of encroachment for the group of trees, shown on all construction plans.	een pruned 1.5 feet per 8-inch ical root basis for a
		 Preconstruction Meeting. On-site preconstruction meetings f phase that affects oak trees shall be attended by the arborist(s Planning staff, and earth-moving team. Explicit exhibits and dis focus on tree protection during construction and provisions of t Protection Plan. 	s), owner(s), scussion will
		4. Install Protective Fencing. Tree protection fencing shall be in the perimeter of the tree protection zone. At a minimum, a tree zone shall be delineated as a no-construction zone. Preferably shall be installed 6 feet outside the tree protection zone. No co- equipment shall be staged, parked, or stored within 6 feet of an dripline.	e protection /, fencing onstruction
		The fence shall be installed with arborist field consultation before construction or earth moving begins. The proposed fencing shall shown on the grading plan. It must be a minimum of 4-foot-hig snow, or safety fence staked (with t-posts 8 feet on center). The owner/applicant shall be responsible for maintaining an erect full throughout the construction period. (For trees to be protected I 4 months, metal fencing is preferred to minimize maintenance requirements.) The arborist(s), upon notification, will inspect the placement once it is erected. After this time, fencing shall not be without arborist inspection/approval.	all be th chain-link, ne ence longer than ne fence
		If plastic fencing is used, a minimum of four zip ties shall be us stake to secure the fence. Weatherproof signs shall be permar posted on the fences every 50 feet, with the following informati Protection Zone. No personnel, equipment, materials, or vehic	nently ion: Tree
		5. Avoid and Minimize Tree Impacts. Impacts to the oak canop root zone shall be avoided where feasible in light of project lay locations of physical structures, paved or otherwise altered sur infrastructure. Impacts include pruning branches over 3 inches diameter, any ground disturbance or soil compaction within the critical root zone of the tree (whichever distance is greater), and damage.	out and the rfaces, and s in e dripline or
		 No Tree Attachments. Wires, signs, and other simila not be attached to the oak trees. 	ar items shall
		 Pruning. Pruning shall be implemented by, or under direction of, a certified arborist. The purpose and typ implemented shall be tracked by service date and cl 	pe of pruning

Project Component	Impacts	Mitigation Measures	Residual Impacts
		pruning for each tree. A certified arborist shall dire No pruning shall take more than 25% of the live cr native tree. Any trees that may need pruning for ro clearance shall be pruned prior to any grading acti branch tearing. Unless a hazardous or unsafe situs major trimming shall be done only during the sumr (Coast live oaks, which retain their leaves year-rou generally dormant July through October.)	own of any ead/home vities to avoid ation exists, ner months.
		 Class 1 pruning emphasizes aesthetics, dead, dying, and decaying weak branch selective thinning to lessen wind resistar 	es and
		ii. Class 2 pruning is for structural integrity health concerns. It consists of removal of decaying, interfering, obstructing, and w and selective thinning to lessen wind res	of dead, dying, eak branches
		iii. Class 3 pruning is conducted for safety of and hazardous conditions.	considerations
		iv. Class 4 pruning includes crown-reductio such as reduction of tops, sides, or indiv	
		Removal of larger lower branches shall be minimize making tree tops heavy and more susceptible to "be reduce large limb cuts that are susceptible to diseat infestation, retain wildlife habitat values associated lower branches, retain shade to keep summer tem cooler (retains higher soil moisture, greater passive potential, provides better conditions for oak seedling volunteers), and retain the natural shape of the tree amount of trimming (roots or canopy) done in any shall be limited as much as possible to reduce tree (10% or less is best, 25% maximum).	olow-overs," ase and d with the peratures e solar ng e. The one season
		c. Surface Root Protection. Care shall be taken to averous within the top 18 inches of soil. If any roots memoved or exposed, they shall be cleanly cut and exposed above the ground surface.	nust be
		d. Utility Placement. All utilities, sewer, and storm draplaced down the roads and driveways and, when poutside of the critical root zones. The arborist shall trenching within the critical root zone. All trenches areas shall be exposed by air spade or hand dug vrouted under/over roots larger than 3 inches in diaunder oaks is also acceptable.	possible, I supervise in these vith utilities
		e. Permeable Paving within 20 Feet of the Critical Ro Paving shall be pervious material where access ro	

Project Component	Impacts	Mitigation Measures	Residual Impacts
		driveways encroach within 20 feet of a retained oak tree's critical root zone.	
		f. Trenching within the Critical Root Zone. All trenching within the critical root zone of native trees shall be hand dug or implemented with an air spade or bore. All major roots shall be avoided whenever possible. All exposed roots larger than 1 inch in diameter shall be clean cut with sharp pruning tools and not left ragged. A mandatory meeting between the arborists and grading contractor(s) must take place prior to work start.	
		g. Grading within the Critical Root Zone. Grading shall not encroach within the critical root zone unless authorized by the grading permit. Grading shall not disrupt the normal drainage pattern around the trees. Fills shall not create a ponding condition and excavations shall not leave the tree on a rapidly draining mound. Any exposed roots shall be covered the same day they were exposed if possible. If left exposed for more than a day, roots must be covered with burlap or another suitable material and wetted down two times per day until reburied.	
		h. Equipment Operation. Vehicles and all heavy equipment shall not be driven under the trees, as this will contribute to soil compaction. Also, there is to be no parking of equipment or personal vehicles in these areas. All areas behind fencing are off limits unless preapproved by the arborist.	
		 i. Existing Surfaces. The existing ground surface within the critical root zone of all oak trees shall not be cut, filled, compacted, or impaired, unless shown on the grading plans and approved by the arborist. If grading in the root zone cannot be avoided, retaining walls shall be constructed to minimize cut and fill impacts. 	
		ii. Construction Materials and Waste. No liquid or solid construction waste shall be dumped on the ground within the critical root zone of any native tree. The critical root zone areas are not for storage of materials. No waste or contaminated water shall be dumped on the ground or into any grate between the outer edge of the critical root zone and the base of the oak trees, or uphill from any oak tree where such substance might reach the roots through a leaching process.	
		iii. No Permanent Irrigation within the Dripline of Existing Oaks. No permanent irrigation shall occur within the dripline of any existing oak tree	
		 Correct Damage to Oaks. The applicant shall be responsible for correcting any damage to oak trees on the property in a manner specified by an arborist approved by the County at the applicant's expense. 	

Project Component	Impacts	Mitigation Measures	Residua Impacts
		a. Impacted Root Treatment. Roots impacted during construction (e.g., trenching or grading operations) shall be treated by the arborist on a case-by-case basis using best practices, such as clean cuts accompanied by application of appropriate fungicide and insecticides by a licensed pest control applicator.	s
		 b. Soil Aeration Methods. Soils within the critical root zone that have been compacted by heavy equipment and/or construction activities must be returned to their original state before all work is completed. Methods include water jetting, adding organic matter, and boring small holes with an auger (18 inches deep, 2–3 feet apart with a 2–4-inch auger) and the application of moderate amounts of nitrogen fertilizer. The arborist(s) shall advise. 	
		c. Chip Mulch. All impacted areas within the critical root zone of the trees shall receive a 4- to 6-inch layer of chip mulch to retain moisture, retain soil structure, and reduce the effects of soil compaction.	n
		d. Landscape. All landscape within the critical root zone shall consist of drought-tolerant or native varieties. Lawns shall be avoided. All irrigation trenching shall be routed around critical root zones, otherwise aboveground drip irrigation shall be used It is the owner's responsibility to notify the landscape contracto regarding this mitigation. For this site, it is strongly recommended that drought-tolerant native landscape is used with the approval of the arborist. This includes all sidewalk/greenbelt areas.	
		e. Fertilization and Cultural Practices. As the project moves towar completion, the arborist(s) may suggest either fertilization and/mycorrhizal inoculation applications that will benefit tree health Application of mycorrhizal inoculum offers several benefits to the host plant, including faster growth, improved nutrition, greater drought resistance, and protection from pathogens.	or
		f. Post-Construction Tree Inspection. Prior to occupancy of each phase, a letter from the arborist(s) shall be required that verifie health/condition of all impacted trees and provides recommendations for additional mitigation. The letter shall verified that the arborist(s) or their designee were on-site for all grading and/or trenching activity that encroached into the critical root zone of the selected native trees, and that all work in these areas was completed to the standards set forth above.	·y
		7. Arborist Supervision and Treatment of Impacted Trees. A licensed arborist shall supervise all ground disturbances within the tree protection zone and activities that may impact branches. The arborist shall provide guidance such as temporary damaged root protection, use of air spades, timing between impact and root treatment by arborist, appropriate use of	

Project Component	Impacts		Mitigation Measures	Residual Impacts
			ade or hand tools to minimize tree damage specific to the action sed, and to treat root zone and branch damage.	
		provid mainta broke prunir shall t shall t	g and upon completion of construction, the licensed arborist shall be treatment, as the licensed arborist determines is appropriate, to ain and improve the health of the tree, including pruning of the main stem, and soil supplement and watering programs. All root g shall be completed with sharpened hand pruners. Pruned roots be immediately covered with soil or moist fabric. Damaged roots be treated within 24 hours by a qualified tree specialist to inhibit s, insects, or other disease damage.	
		report treate design or pes	rt Tree Impacts. Damage to any tree during construction shall be ed to the project arborist within 24 hours. The damage should be d as soon as possible, as appropriate, by an arborist or his/her nee approved by the County of San Luis Obispo to prevent disease t infestation. Damage will be reported to the County of San Luis o and applicant during each month of construction.	
			nitoring will be documented on the field report form, which will be ded to the project manager and County.	
		allowe irrigati compa mater imperi	ct Replacement/Mitigation Oaks. The following activities are not ad within the root zone of newly planted oak trees: year-round on (no summer watering, unless "establishing" new tree or native atible plants for up to 7 years), grading (includes cutting and filling of al), compaction (e.g., regular use of vehicles), placement of meable surfaces (e.g., pavement), and disturbance of soil that ts roots (e.g., tilling).	
		showr sheet prohib retain	on Plans. The standards in BIO/mm-18.1(1–7) shall be noted and on all grading and building plans, as well as an additional map recorded with any Final Map in order to describe the activities ited outside the approved construction envelopes. All trees to be ed within 50 feet of impact areas shall be shown with tree protection or groups of trees and critical root zone for individual trees.	
		and H land d Plan, Resto Count Protec by the subco native Habita Traini	re and Implement On-Site Oak Tree Protection, Replacement, abitat Restoration Plan. Prior to recordation of a Final Map for a ivision on the property, the developer shall submit a Tree Protection Tree Replacement Plan (BIO/mm-18.2), and Oak Woodland Habitat ration Plan (BIO/mm-18.3) for the review and approval by the y of San Luis Obispo Planning and Building Director. The Oak Tree stion, Replacement, and Habitat Restoration Plan will be approved County of San Luis Obispo and provided to all contractors and intractors that work within or adjacent to the critical root zone of trees. Provisions of the Oak Tree Protection, Replacement, and at Restoration Plan shall be included in the Worker Environmental and Program to confirm that workers and supervisors are trained in aining fencing, protecting root zones, and conforming to all tree tion goals. Each contractor must sign and acknowledge the plan.	

Project Component	Impacts	Mitigation Measures	Residua Impacts
		Any future changes (within the critical root zone) will need project arborise review and implementation of potential mitigation measures before proceeding.	st
		12. Mitigate Impacts to Preserved Trees. Damage that occurs to protecter retained trees or sensitive habitats resulting from construction activities shall be mitigated in a manner approved by the County of San Luis Obispo Planning and Building Director. Damage to trees located within habitat types mapped as oak woodland or oak forest in Figure 4.4-2 shabe mitigated through off-site preservation, consistent with BIO/mm-18.4. Damage to trees located outside habitat types mapped as oak woodland or oak forest in Figure 4.4-2 shall be mitigated pursuant to replacement tree performance criteria set forth in Section 2 of Impacts to less than 10 of the tree's critical root zone and canepy shall be mitigated at a 2:1 ratio (plant two trees for each tree impacted). Impacts over 10% and less that 50% of the tree's critical root zone and/or canepy shall be mitigated at a 3:1 ratio. Impacts to more than 50% of the trees' critical root zone shall require mitigation at a 4:1 ratio. See BIO/mm-18.2 for replacement tree performance criteria.	<u>II</u> <u>I</u> ⇒
		Mitigation for impacted trees shall be tracked with the following information: tree tag number, location (latitude/longitude WGS84 datum) number of trunks, diameter at breast height of main trunk, proposed critical root zone impact percent, proposed mitigation ratio, actual impact percent, date of impact (month/year), document if accounted for in approved plans, actual replacement ratio, actual replacement number, date of planting (month/year), location of mitigation planting (Phase and general location), and expected year performance criteria to be met.	
		Quarterly impact and proposed mitigation documentation shall be provided to the County during the active phases of construction. Annual reports shall be provided until the project is completed.	
		BIO/mm-18.2: Tree Replacement Plan. Prior to issuance of a grading permit for any future development within the Specific Plan Area, a qualified arborist shall prepare and submit an Oak Tree Replacement Plan for the review and approval by the County of San Luis Obispo Planning and Building Director. The Oak Tree Replacement Plan will be approved by the County of San Luis Obispo and will include a plan for adding native oaks to the landscape planting plan for streets and recreational open spaces.	
		The Oak Tree Replacement Plan shall specify the number of oak trees to be plant based on the following mitigation ratios:	ed
		 Mitigation for Removed Trees. Oak trees removed from habitat types not mapped as oak woodland or oak forest in Figure 4.4-2, shall be mitigated for by planting replacement trees at a 4:1 ratio (four trees for each tree removed, e.g., 120 oaks planted for 30 removed). 	

 Mitigation for Impacts to Preserved Trees. Per Section 12 of BIO/mm-18.1, damage that occurs to protected retained trees located outside habitat types mapped as oak woodland or oak forest in Figure 4.4-2

Project Component	Impacts	Mitigation Measures	Residual Impacts
		resulting from construction activities shall be mitigated for at the following ratios:	
		a. Indirect impacts to less than 25% of a tree's critical root zone and canopy shall be monitored, tracked, and health reported for at least 2 years following impact Impacts to less than 10% of a tree's critical root zone and canopy shall be mitigated at a 2:1 ratio (plant two trees for each tree impacted).	
		b. Trees impacted over 25% of a trees critical root zone shall be monitored for 7 years. Trees in very poor health after 7 years as determined by a certified arborist shall be replanted at a 2:1 ratio (plant two trees for each tree impacted) impacts over 10% and less than 50% of a tree's critical root zone and/or canopy shall be mitigated at a 3:1 ratio (plant three trees for each tree impacted).	
		c. Impacts to more than 50% of a trees' critical root zone and/or canopy shall require mitigation at a 4:1 ratio (plant four trees for each tree impacted).	
		3. Criteria for Replacement Trees:	
		 Mitigation trees may be planted to enhance the on-site oak woodland and/or included in the landscape planting plan but are not allowed in the preserved oak forest habitat. 	
		b. If on-site planting areas are not available, off site oak habitat mitigation areas shall be calculated at two times 1,750 square feet per tree (assuming a 47 foot diameter average canopy of trees removed from grassland habitats).	
		e.b. Replacement trees shall not be planted within designated fire fuel management zones (i.e., within 100 feet of structures) shall be planted with the intention that their mature canopies will be maintained over 6 feet above ground level. Within 30 feet of structures, canopies will maintain a minimum separation of 10 feet.	
		d.c. A minimum of 25% of the oak trees planted in mitigation areas and in on-site restoration areas shall be propagated from acorns collected from on-site oak trees, preferably from those proposed to be removed. All mitigation trees propagated from acorns must reach at least 1 inch in diameter prior to the removal of mature trees.	
		e.d. All other mitigation trees must be from Central Coast acorns. All replacement trees shall be at least 1 year old and preferably propagated in tall tree pots that are 12 to 18 inches deep1 inch in diameter.	
		Mitigation trees shall be maintained and monitored for a minimum of 7 years and must have reached a minimum height of 6 feet prior to certification of completion.	

Project Component	Impacts	Mitigation Measures	Residual Impacts
----------------------	---------	---------------------	---------------------

Ff. The following activities are not allowed within the root zone of newly planted oak trees: Year-round irrigation (no summer watering, unless "establishing" new tree or native compatible plants for up to 7 years), grading (includes cutting and filling of material), compaction (e.g., regular use of vehicles), placement of impermeable surfaces (e.g., pavement), and disturbance of soil that impacts roots (e.g., tilling).

In addition to oaks, the Oak Tree Replacement Plan shall include plants typical of Nipomo Mesa native oak woodlands in open space planting palettes, as well as herbs and shrubs that thrive near oaks, and generally require less irrigation than some of the landscaping commonly employed on the Central Coast. The table below provides appropriate plants associated with oak trees, including species found on the Dana Reserve. This list includes several with California Rare Plant Rank status. The landscape planting plan shall include common native understory species, such as western nettle and California plantain, as they may be naturally present in native landscapes and allowed to be retained by maintenance crews during restoration and site maintenance. Special-status species should be encouraged to be represented in the native plant landscape plan, especially in areas where already present or in the vicinity.

4. Identify All Protected Oak Areas that Require Certified Arborist Review.

- a. Prior to construction, areas of proposed impacts to coast live oak critical root zone shall be clearly identified on construction documents. Three distinct categories shall be identified on the plans: preserved oaks, woodland and forest oaks to be removed or impacted, and scattered oaks in other habitats. An International Society of Arboriculture (ISA) certified arborist and/or the certified arborist's designee shall be present during all impacts within oak tree critical root zones.
 - Cutting or disturbing a large percentage of a tree's roots increases the likelihood of the tree's failure or death. Cutting tree roots that are more than 4 inches wide shall be avoided; roots that large are usually structural. Cutting them can destroy the stability of the tree, causing it to fall over.
- a-b. The project arborist and/or the arborist's designee will (1) guide contractors to minimize and avoid adverse effects on an individual tree basis where work is proposed within the critical root zone; and (2) treat damaged roots and branches with appropriate arboriculture methods.

Recommended Native Plant Species for Landscaping				
Scientific Name	Common Name	Special Status		
Shrubs – 12 Native Taxa				
Artemisia californica	California sagebrush			
Ceanothus impressus var. nipomensis	Nipomo Mesa ceanothus	CRPR 1B.2		
Ceanothus cuneatus var. fascicularis	Sand buck brush	CRPR 4.2		
Cercocarpus betuloides var. betuloides	Birch-leaf mountain- mahogany			
Frangula californica	California coffee berry			
Heteromeles arbutifolia	Toyon			
Prunus ilicifolia	Hollyleaf cherry			
Prunus fasciculata var. punctata	Sand almond	CRPR 4.3		
Rhamnus crocea	Spiny redberry			
Salvia mellifera	Black sage			
Sambucus nigra ssp. caerulea	Blue elderberry			
Symphoricarpos mollis	Creeping snowberry			
Forbs – Annual and Perennial Na	tive Taxa			
Acmispon americanus	American bird's foot trefoil			
Acmispon glaber	Deer weed			
Anaphalis margaritacea	Pearly everlasting			
Asclepias eriocarpa	Kotolo			
Cirsium occidentale	Cobweb thistle			
Clarkia purpurea ssp. viminea	Wine cup Clarkia			
Claytonia parviflora ssp. parviflora	Miner's lettuce			
Corethrogyne filaginifolia	Common tansyaster			
Dichelostemma capitatum ssp. capitatum	Blue dicks			

Impacts	Mitig	gation Measures		Residua Impacts
	Diplacus aurantiacus	Sticky monkeyflower		
	Helianthemum scoparium	Broom rose		
	Hesperocnide tenella	Western nettle		
	Heterotheca grandiflora	Telegraph weed		
	Horkelia cuneata var. puberula	Mesa horkelia	CRPR 1B.1	
	Lupinus bicolor	Miniature lupine		
	Lupinus nanus	Sky lupine		
	Lupinus truncatus	Blunt leaved lupine		
	Paeonia californica	California peony	-	
	Pedicularis densiflora	Warrior's plume	-	
	Phacelia ramosissima	Branching phacelia		
	Phacelia tanacetifolia	Lacy phacelia		
	Pholistoma auritum	Fiesta flower		
	Piperia michaelii	Michael's rein orchid	CRPR 4.2	
	Plantago erecta	California plantain		
	Pseudognaphalium californicum	Ladies' tobacco		
	Pterostegia drymarioides	Fairy mist		
	Silene laciniata	Cardinal catchfly		
	Solanum americanum	Common nightshade		
	Solanum xanti	Chaparral nightshade		
		Helianthemum scoparium Hesperocnide tenella Heterotheca grandiflora Horkelia cuneata var. puberula Lupinus bicolor Lupinus nanus Lupinus truncatus Paeonia californica Pedicularis densiflora Phacelia ramosissima Phacelia tanacetifolia Pholistoma auritum Piperia michaelii Plantago erecta Pseudognaphalium californicum Pterostegia drymarioides Silene laciniata Solanum americanum	Helianthemum scoparium Hesperocnide tenella Western nettle Heterotheca grandiflora Telegraph weed Horkelia cuneata var. puberula Mesa horkelia Lupinus bicolor Miniature lupine Lupinus nanus Sky lupine Lupinus truncatus Blunt leaved lupine Paeonia californica California peony Pedicularis densiflora Warrior's plume Phacelia ramosissima Branching phacelia Phacelia tanacetifolia Lacy phacelia Pholistoma auritum Fiesta flower Piperia michaelii Michael's rein orchid Plantago erecta California plantain Pseudognaphalium californicum Ladies' tobacco Pterostegia drymarioides Fairy mist Silene laciniata Cardinal catchfly Solanum americanum Common nightshade	Helianthemum scoparium Hesperocnide tenella Heterotheca grandiflora Telegraph weed Horkelia cuneata var. puberula Lupinus bicolor Lupinus nanus Sky lupine Lupinus truncatus Blunt leaved lupine Paeonia californica California peony Pedicularis densiflora Warrior's plume Phacelia ramosissima Branching phacelia Phacelia tanacetifolia Lacy phacelia Piperia michaelii Michael's rein orchid CRPR 1B.1 CRPR 1B.1 CRPR 1B.1 Lupinus truncatus Blunt leaved lupine Paeonia californica California peony Phacelia ramosissima Branching phacelia Phacelia tanacetifolia Lacy phacelia Pholistoma auritum Fiesta flower Piperia michaelii Michael's rein orchid CRPR 4.2 Plantago erecta California plantain Pseudognaphalium californicum Ladies' tobacco Pterostegia drymarioides Fairy mist Silene laciniata Cardinal catchfly Solanum americanum Common nightshade

Project Component	Impacts	Mitigation Measures	Residual Impacts
		wildlife habitat protection. The plan shall include (7) a fuel management component that provides measures to protect native understory vegetation and downed woody debris in a manner that optimizes wildlife habitat protection and reduces fire risk to neighborhoods. The plan shall (8) maximize the protection of large oak trees (greater than 12 inches in diameter as measured at breast height) during all construction activities.	
		Fire fuel management shall address reduction of fire fuel loads within 100 feet of structures. The first 30 feet from residences/structures (e.g., the back of yards) shall be maintained to remove dead plant material, and trees shall be maintained to create canopy gapskeep branches 10 feet from other trees. In the next 70 feet, annual grass shall be cut or grazed to a maximum average height of 4 inches. A horizontal space shall be created between patches of native shrubs. Fallen branches, twigs, and bark shall be removed to reduce total fuel load. Patches of live shrubs shall be retained, and patches of annual wildflowers shall be mowed/grazed after seeds have set. Young trees that are in shrub-form shall be shaped to minimize fuel load but allow for trees to protect their trunks during the early growth period when bark is still relatively thin. Heavy branches of mature trees at least 6 feet from the ground shall be removed per California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection's "Prepare for Wildfire" recommendations to maintain defensible space. Management of defensible space (100 feet from structures and 10 feet from roads) must protect special-status plant and wildlife taxa as specified in Mitigation Measures BIO/mm-1.1 through BIO/mm-1.1 through BIO/mm-1.6, BIO/mm-2.1 through BIO/mm-2.3, BIO/mm-3.1, BIO/mm-4.1 and BIO/mm-4.2, BIO/mm-5.1, BIO/mm-6.1, BIO/mm-7.1, BIO/mm-8.1, BIO/mm-9.1, and BIO/mm-14.1.	
		BIO/mm-18.4: Off-Site Preservation. Prior to recordation of a Final Map for a land division over the Specific Plan Area, the applicant shall protect coast live oak forest (Quercus agrifolia / Toxicodendron diversilobum association) and coast live oak woodland (Quercus agrifolia / Adenostoma fasciculatum – [Salvia mellifera] association) at a ratio of 2:1 (2 acres conserved for each acre removed). A conservation easement over the protected habitat shall be controlled by a qualified conservation organization approved by the County of San Luis Obispo. Potential conservation organizations include, but are not limited to, The Nature Conservancy, Land Conservancy of San Luis Obispo County, Greenspace, or Cambria Land Trust.	
		Applicant-Proposed Mitigation: The applicant proposes to conserve 187 acres of coast live oak woodland and 67.5 acres of coast live oak forest that is intermixed with the 95.9 acres of chamise chaparral, 19.2 acres of La Panza manzanita chaparral, and 26.4 acres of annual grassland on the Dana Ridge Ranch. This property is located southeast of Dana Reserve (see Figure 4.4-13). Habitat descriptions, a plant list, and figures associated with this off-site mitigation location are detailed in Althouse and Meade (2021). The project proposes to impact 21.7 acres of coast live oak forest and 75.3 acres of coast live oak woodland (97.0 acres total). The applicant's proposed mitigation on Dana Ridge Ranch would yield a mitigation ratio of 3.1:1 for coast live oak forest and 2.5:1 for coast live oak woodland habitats. No restoration or replacement of removed oak trees is proposed.	

Project Component	Impacts	Mitigation Measures	Residual Impacts
Off-Site Improvements	BIO Impact 19: Off-site transportation improvements and/or trenching of new water and wastewater pipelines could result in direct and indirect impacts to oak trees.	BIO/mm-19.1: Oak Tree Monitoring. Impacts to oak trees shall be avoided where feasible. Impacts include any ground disturbance or soil compaction within the dripline or critical root zone of the trees (whichever distance is greater). A qualified certified arborist shall determine the critical root zone for each oak tree within the path of the pipeline alignments. Ground disturbance shall be supervised by a licensed arborist if excavation is proposed within the critical root zone of an oak tree. The arborist shall supervise all trenching within the critical root zone. The arborist shall provide guidance such as temporary damaged root protection, use of air spades, timing between impact and root treatment by arborist, appropriate use of air spade or hand tools to minimize tree damage specific to the action proposed, and to treat root zone and branch damage. During and upon completion of construction, the licensed arborist shall provide treatment, as the licensed arborist determines is appropriate, to maintain and improve the health of the tree, including pruning of the broken main stem, and soil supplement and watering programs. All root pruning shall be completed with sharpened hand pruners. Pruned roots shall be immediately covered with soil or moist fabric. Damaged roots shall be treated within 24 hours by a qualified tree specialist to inhibit fungus, insects, or other disease damage. Impacted oak trees shall be monitored and, if found in decline, replaced consistent with the requirements of BIO/mm-18.1, BIO/mm-18.2, and BIO/mm-18.3. If required, a draft replacement plan with a specific receiver site such as parks in the Nipomo area shall be approved by the County of San Luis Obispo prior to trenching within the critical root zone of any oak tree.	Residual impacts would be less than significant with mitigation (Class II)
Cumulative	BIO Impact 20: The project would have cumulatively considerable impacts related to biological resources.	Implement Mitigation Measures BIO/mm-1.1 through BIO/mm-1.6, BIO/mm-2.1 through BIO/mm-2.3, BIO/mm-3.1, BIO/mm-4.1 and BIO/mm-4.2, BIO/mm-5.1, BIO/mm-6.1, BIO/mm-7.1, BIO/mm-8.1, BIO/mm-9.1, BIO/mm-11.1, BIO/mm-12.1, BIO/mm-13.1, BIO/mm-14.1, BIO/mm-15.1, BIO/mm-16.1, BIO/mm-17.1 through BIO/mm-17.3, BIO/mm-18.1 through BIO/mm-18.4, and BIO/mm-19.1.	Residual impacts would be significant and unavoidable (Class I)
Cultural Resource	s		
Off-Site Improvements	CR Impact 1: Off-site improvements could result in adverse effects to historical resources.	CR/mm-1.1: Historical Resources Evaluation. Prior to development of off-site improvements, the applicant, in coordination with the Nipomo Community Services District, shall retain a County of San Luis Obispo-a-qualified architectural historian to will-conduct a review to determine the presence of historical resources and/or the potential for the improvements to affect historical resources and prepare a report that details the evaluation methodology, findings, and recommended mitigation measures to avoid and/or minimize potential impacts. The report shall be submitted to the Nipomo Community Services District for implementation and to the County of San Luis Obispo Planning and Building Department for verification of compliance with this measure.	Residual impacts would be less than significant with mitigation (Class II)
Specific Plan Area	CR Impact 2: Future project-related ground- disturbing activities and indirect impacts related to the use and occupation of the Specific Plan Area could result in disturbance and destruction	CR/mm-2.1: Environmentally Sensitive Areas. The Extended Phase I study identified areas within each resource that contain subsurface deposits, which have higher potential to yield important information. Although abundant within the project area, non-diagnostic surface artifacts generally lack significant data potential. As	Residual impacts would be less than significant

Project Component	Impacts	Mitigation Measures	Residual Impacts
	of known archaeological resources P-40-002132, P-40-002273, and DR-001.	such, the localized portions of each respective resource that contain evidence of subsurface deposits shall be avoided.	with mitigation (Class II)
		These areas shall be labeled as Environmentally Sensitive Areas on construction plans for initial site preparation and infrastructure establishment, as well as construction plans for all future phases of the project. Highly visible temporary construction fencing shall be installed along the boundary and shall remain in place during initial ground disturbance. To the greatest extent feasible, no ground disturbance, construction worker foot traffic, storage of materials, or storage or use of equipment shall occur within 50 feet of the Environmentally Sensitive Areas. If an Environmentally Sensitive Area will be accessible by occupants or visitors to the development, the Environmentally Sensitive Area shall be clearly marked, and designated trails will be established to ensure that no future impacts to the Environmentally Sensitive Areas occur as a result of the project. Where feasible, native vegetation shall be planted and maintained in a way that protects off-trail activity within the Environmentally Sensitive Area(s) and minimizes impacts from planting, irrigation, and use for the life of the project.	
		CR/mm-2.2: Data Recovery Plan. If a resource cannot be protected and avoided as an Environmentally Sensitive Area as described in CR/mm-2.1, the applicant shall retain a County of San Luis Obispo-qualified archaeologist to conduct and implement resource-specific data recovery prior to initial site preparation and infrastructure establishment, as well as prior to construction of all future phases of the project occurring within 50 feet of an Environmentally Sensitive Area. Prior to implementation of data recovery, a County-qualified archaeologist shall prepare a Data Recovery Plan outlining the goals and methods for conducting and reporting on the work. The Data Recovery Plan will include, but not be limited to:	
		1. Research design;	
		Excavation methodology;	
		3. Curation or repatriation plan;	
		4. Treatment of human remains;	
		5. Proposed sample size;	
		6. Proposed excavation locations; and	
		7. Coordination with local tribal groups.	
		The Data Recovery Plan will be tailored to the level of physical disturbance at each resource (if any). As the full extent of proposed disturbance cannot be determined at this time, it is not practical to include the preparation of the Data Recovery Plan as part of this Environmental Impact Report. The Data Recovery Plan will be prepared in direct coordination with local tribal groups and shall be submitted to the County of San Luis Obispo Planning and Building Department for review and approval.	
		CR/mm-2.3: Cultural Resources Protection Plan. In addition to the resource- specific Data Recovery program, a County of San Luis Obispo -qualified archaeologist shall prepare a Cultural Resources Protection Plan to ensure impacts to unknown resources are avoided or minimized during all future phases of the	

Project Component	Impacts	Mitigation Measures	Residua Impacts
		project, including off-site improvements. The Cultural Resources Protection Plan shall include, but not be limited to, the following provisions:	
		 List of personnel involved in the observation and oversight activities; 	
		 Description of how monitoring will occur; 	
		 Description of how tribal monitoring will occur in coordination with the Northern Chumash Trbal Council (NCTC) and yak titvu titvu yak tithini (ytt); 	
		<u>ঃ.4.</u> Description of frequency of monitoring (e.g., full-time, part time, spot checking);	
		4. <u>5.</u> Description of what resources are expected to be encountered;	
		5.6. Description of circumstances that would result in the halting of work at the project site (e.g., what is considered significant archaeological resources?);	
		6-7. Description of procedures for halting work on the site and notification procedures;	
		7.8. Description of reporting procedures; and	
		& <u>9.</u> Consultation with appropriate Chumash tribal representatives.	
		The Cultural Resources Protection Plan shall outline how and when archaeological and/or tribal monitoring may occur during initial project activities. The intent of the Cultural Resources Protection Plan is to ensure avoidance of adverse impacts to resources protected as Environmentally Sensitive Areas and to ensure proper treatment in the case unknown resources are inadvertently discovered during project implementation.	
		CR/mm-2.4: Worker Awareness Training. Prior to construction activities, the applicant shall have a County of San Luis Obispo-qualified archaeologist and a tribal representative conduct a cultural resources training for all construction personnel, including the following:	
		 Review the types of archaeological artifacts that may be uncovered; 	
		Provide examples of common archaeological artifacts to examine;	
		 Review what makes an archaeological resource significant to archaeologists and local Native Americans; 	
		 Describe procedures for notifying involved or interested parties in case of a new discovery; 	
		Describe reporting requirements and responsibilities of construction personnel;	
		 Review procedures that shall be used to record, evaluate, and mitigate new discoveries; and, 	
		7. Describe procedures that would be followed in the case of discovery of disturbed and/or intact human burials and burial-associated artifacts.	

Project Component	Impacts	Mitigation Measures	Residual Impacts
Off-Site Improvements	CR Impact 3: Off-site improvements could result in adverse effects to archaeological resources.	Implement Mitigation Measures CR/mm-2.3 and CR/mm-2.4. CR/mm-3.1: Retain Archaeologist. Prior to development of off-site improvements, a County of San Luis Obispo-qualified archaeologist shall be retained by the applicant, in coordination with the Nipomo Community Services District, to conduct a review of California Historical Resources Information System records search data to determine the presence of known resources and determine if the off-site improvement areas have been previously subject to archaeological study, and whether the study adequately addresses the potential for archaeological resources to occur within the disturbance area associated with implementation of the project. If it is determined a study has not been conducted or existing research does not meet California Environmental Quality Act requirements for the identification and treatment of California Register of Historical Resources-eligible resources, a new study shall be conducted. The study shall identify archaeological resources that have the potential to be impacted by future development and provide mitigation measures to avoid and/or minimize potential impacts. Additional tasks, such as Native American coordination, Phase II archaeological testing, Phase III data recovery, and historic research, shall be conducted as necessary. The study shall identify cultural resources that have the potential to be impacted by future development and identify resource-specific mitigation measures to avoid and/or minimize potential impacts. The study shall be submitted to the Nipomo Community Services District for implementation County of San Luis Obispo Planning and Building Department for verification of compliance with this measure.	Residual impacts would be less than significant with mitigation (Class II)
Specific Plan Area	CR Impact 4: Future project-related ground-disturbing activities and indirect impacts related to the use and occupation of the Specific Plan Area could result in disturbance and destruction of unknown human remains.	Implement Mitigation Measures CR/mm-2.3 and CR/mm-2.4.	Residual impacts would be less than significant with mitigation (Class II)
Off-Site Improvements	CR Impact 5: Off-site improvements could result in disturbance and destruction of unknown human remains.	Implement Mitigation Measures CR/mm-2.3 and CR/mm-2.4.	Residual impacts would be less than significant with mitigation (Class II)
Cumulative	CR Impact 6: Project implementation may result in the cumulative disturbance and destruction of historic resources, including archaeological and historical resources pursuant to State CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.5, and human remains.	Implement Mitigation Measures CR/mm-1.1, CR/mm-2.1 through CR/mm-2.4, and CR/mm-3.1.	Residual impacts would be less than significant with mitigation (Class II)

Project Component	Impacts	Mitigation Measures	Residual Impacts
Energy			
Specific Plan Area	EN Impact 1: The project could result in wasteful, inefficient, or unnecessary consumption of energy resources during project construction or operation.	Implement Mitigation Measures AQ/mm-3.1, AQ/mm-3.3, and TR/mm-3.1.	Residual impacts would be less than significant with mitigation (Class II)
Off-Site Improvements	EN Impact 2: Off-site improvements could result in wasteful, inefficient, or unnecessary consumption of energy resources during project construction or operation.	Implement Mitigation Measure AQ/mm-3.1.	Residual impacts would be less than significant with mitigation (Class II)
Specific Plan Area	EN Impact 3: The project could conflict with or obstruct a state or local plan for renewable energy or energy efficiency.	Implement Mitigation Measure AQ/mm-3.3.	Residual impacts would be less than significant with mitigation (Class II)
Off-Site Improvements	EN Impact 4: Off-site improvements could conflict with or obstruct a state or local plan for renewable energy or energy efficiency.	Implement Mitigation Measure AQ/mm-3.1.	Residual impacts would be less than significant with mitigation (Class II)
Cumulative	EN Impact 5: The project would not result in a cumulatively considerable impact to energy resources.	Mitigation is not necessary.	Residual impacts would be less than significant (Class III)
Geology and Soils			
Specific Plan Area	GEO Impact 1: The project could directly or indirectly cause potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving rupture of a known earthquake fault, seismic ground shaking, or seismic-related ground failure.	 GEO/mm-1.1: Foundations. The following recommendations shall be incorporated into the design criteria for future development of the Specific Plan Area: Conventional continuous and spread footings bearing on compacted soils may be used to support the new structures. Grade beams shall also be placed across all large entrances into the buildings. Footings and grade beams shall have a minimum depth of 12 inches below lowest adjacent grade; however, footings and grade beams for commercial buildings and residential buildings two stories or greater shall have a minimum depth of 18 inches below lowest adjacent grade. All spread footings shall be a minimum of 2 square feet. Footing and grade beam dimensions shall also conform to the applicable requirements of Section 1809 of the 2019 California Building Code. Footing reinforcement shall be in accordance with the requirements of the architect/engineer; minimum continuous 	Residual impacts would be less than significant with mitigation (Class II)

Project Component	Impacts	Mitigation Measures	Residual Impacts
		footing and grade beam reinforcement shall consist of two No. 4 rebar, one near the top and one near the bottom of the footing.	
		 Footings shall be designed using a maximum allowable bearing capacity of 2,000 pounds per square foot (psf) dead plus live load. The allowable bearing capacity may be increased by 200 psf for each additional 6 inches of embedment below a depth of 12 inches below lowest adjacent grade. 	

across the largest building dimension, respectively.
Lateral loads may be resisted by soil friction and by passive resistance of the soil acting on foundations. Lateral capacity is based on the assumption that backfill adjacent to foundations is properly compacted. A passive equivalent fluid pressure of 375 pounds per cubic foot (pcf) and a coefficient of friction of 0.39 may be used in design. No safety, load, and/or other factors have been applied to any of the values.

The allowable bearing capacity shall not exceed 3,000 psf dead plus live loads. Using these criteria, maximum total and differential settlement under static conditions are expected to be on the order of 3/4-inch and 1/4-inch in 25 feet, respectively. Footings shall also be designed to withstand total and differential dynamic settlement of 1/2-inch and 1/4-inch

4. The allowable bearing capacity may be increased by one-third when transient loads, such as wind or seismicity, are included if the structural engineer determines they are allowed per Sections 1605.3.1 and 1605.3.2 of the 2019 California Building Code. The following seismic parameters are presented for use in structural design.

2019 Mapped CBC Values		Site CI	ass "D"	Adjusted Valu	ies	Design V	alues
Seismic Parameters	Values (g)	Site Coefficients	Values (g)	Seismic Parameters	Values (g)	Seismic Parameters	Values (g)
Ss	1.056	Fa	1.078*	S _{MS}	1.138	S _{DS}	0.759*
S ₁	0.386	F _V	1.914	S _{M1}	0.739	S _{D1}	0.493

Peak Mean Ground Acceleration (PGA_M) = 0.527g

Seismic Design Criteria = D

 *F_a should be taken as 1.4 and S_{DS} as 0.996 if the Simplified Lateral Force Analysis Procedure in Section 12.14.8 of the American Society of Civil Engineers Publications is used in structural design

 Foundation excavations shall be observed by the geotechnical engineer prior to placement of reinforcing steel or any formwork. Foundation excavations shall be thoroughly moistened prior to Portland cement concrete placement and no desiccation cracks shall be present.

Project Component	Impacts	Mitigation Measures	Residual Impacts
Off-Site Improvements	GEO Impact 2: Off-site improvements could directly or indirectly cause potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving rupture of a known earthquake fault, seismic ground shaking, or seismic-related ground failure.	Mitigation is not necessary.	Residual impacts would be less than significant (Class III)
Specific Plan Area	GEO Impact 3: The project could result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil during future construction activities.	Mitigation is not necessary.	Residual impacts would be less than significant (Class III)
Off-Site Improvements	GEO Impact 4: Off-site improvements could result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil during future construction activities.	Mitigation is not necessary.	Residual impacts would be less than significant (Class III)
Specific Plan Area	GEO Impact 5: The project may be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that	Implement Mitigation Measure GEO/mm-1.1.	Residual impacts would be less
	would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse.	1. The existing ground surface in the building and surface improvements areas shall be prepared for construction by removing existing improvements, vegetation, large roots, debris, and other deleterious material. Any existing fill soils shall be completely removed and replaced as compacted fill. Any existing utilities that will not remain in service shall be removed or properly abandoned; the appropriate method of utility abandonment will depend upon the type and depth of the utility. Recommendations for abandonment can be made as necessary.	than significant with mitigation (Class II)
		 Voids created by the removal of materials or utilities, and extending below the recommended overexcavation depth, shall be immediately called to the attention of the geotechnical engineer. No fill shall be placed unless the geotechnical engineer has observed the underlying soil. 	
		GEO/mm-5.2: Grading.	
		1. Following site preparation, the soils in the building area for one- and two-story buildings shall be removed to a level plane at a minimum depth of 3 feet below the bottom of the deepest footing or 4 feet below existing grade, whichever is deeper. The soils in the building area for three- and four-story buildings shall be removed to a level plane at a minimum depth of 4 feet below the bottom of the deepest footing or 5 feet below existing grade, whichever is deeper. During construction, locally deeper removals may be recommended based on field conditions. The resulting soil surface shall then be scarified, moisture conditioned, and compacted prior to placing any fill soil.	
		 In addition to the recommendations of measure 1, all cut or cut/fill transition areas shall be overexcavated such that a minimum of 5 feet of compacted fill is provided within all the building areas. Also, the minimum depth of the fill below the building area shall not be less than half of the 	

Project Component	Impacts	Mitigation Meas	sures Residual Impacts
		maximum depth of fill below the buildi maximum depth of fill below the buildi depth of fill below the same building a feet. In no case shall the depth of fill b areas.	ing area is 20 feet, then the minimum area grades shall be no less than 10
		 Following site preparation, the soils in be removed to a level plane at a minin proposed subgrade elevation or 2 feel whichever is deeper. During construct recommended based on field condition then be scarified, moisture conditioned any fill soil. 	mum depth of 1 foot below the et below the existing ground surface, tion, locally deeper removals may be ons. The resulting soil surface shall
		 Following site preparation, the soils in surface improvement areas shall be re existing grade. During construction, lo recommended based on field condition then be scarified, moisture conditioned any fill soil. 	removed to a depth of 2 feet below ocally deeper removals may be ons. The resulting soil surface shall
		 Voids created by dislodging cobbles a shall be backfilled and compacted, an removed from the area of work. 	
		6. On-site material and approved import by the geotechnical engineer pursuan Substance Control's (DTSC's) 2001 Ir Fill Material may be used as general for contamination and non-expansive. The evaluated by the geotechnical engineer intermittent basis during placement or	nt to the Department of Toxic nformation Advisory Clean Imported fill. All imported soil shall be free of ne proposed imported soils shall be per before being used, and on an
		7. All materials used as fill shall be clean than 6 inches in diameter. No rocks la be used within the upper 3 feet of finis rocks, the rocks shall be placed in a si voids caused by nesting of the rocks we properly compacted.	arger than 3 inches in diameter shall sh grade. When fill material includes sufficient soil matrix to ensure that
		Soils are estimated to shrink by appro prepared and graded as recommende	
		GEO/mm-5.3: Project Design, Construction C	
		 A geotechnical engineer shall be retai the design phase, aid in incorporating future project design, review final plan this report during construction, and pro- form of testing and observation. 	g recommendations of this report in ns once they are available, interpret
		2. At a minimum, the geotechnical engin	·
		a. Review of final grading, utili	ity, and foundation plans;

Project Component	Impacts		Mitigation Measures	Residual Impacts
			 Professional observation during grading, foundation excavations, and trench backfill; 	
			c. Oversight of compaction testing during grading; and	
			d. Oversight of special inspection during grading;	
		3.	Special inspection of grading shall be provided as per California Building Code Section 1705.6 and Table 1705.6. The special inspector shall be under the direction of the geotechnical engineer. Special inspection of the following items shall be provided by the special inspector:	
			a. Stripping and clearing of vegetation	
			b. Overexcavation to the recommended depths	
			c. Scarification, moisture conditioning, and compaction of the soil	
			d. Fill quality, placement, and compaction	
			e. Utility trench backfill	
			f. Retaining wall drains and backfill	
			g. Foundation excavations	
			h. Subgrade and aggregate base compaction and proof rolling	
		4.	A program of quality control shall be developed prior to beginning grading. The contractor or project manager shall determine any additional inspection items required by the architect/engineer or the governing jurisdiction.	
		5.	Locations and frequency of compaction tests shall be as per the recommendation of the geotechnical engineer at the time of construction. The recommended test location and frequency may be subject to modification by the geotechnical engineer, based on soil and moisture conditions encountered, size and type of equipment used by the contractor, the general trend of the results of compaction tests, or other factors.	
		6.	The geotechnical engineer shall be notified at least 48 hours prior to beginning construction operations.	
Off-Site Improvements	GEO Impact 6: The project may be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse.	Mitigatio	n is not necessary.	Residual impacts would be less than significant (Class III)
Off-Site Improvements	GEO Impact 7: Off-site improvements may be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial direct or indirect risks to life or property.	Mitigatio	n is not necessary.	Residual impacts would be less than significant (Class III)

Project Component	Impacts	Mitigation Measures	Residual Impacts
Specific Plan Area	GEO Impact 8: Paleontological resources could be present in geological units that underlay the Specific Plan Area, and ground-disturbing activities could damage paleontological resources that may be present below the surface.	GEO/mm-8.1: Preparation of a Paleontological Resources Monitoring and Mitigation Plan. A qualified paleontologist, meeting the standards of the Society of Vertebrate Paleontology (2010), shall be retained by the applicant prior to the approval of grading permits. The qualified paleontologist shall develop a Paleontological Resources Monitoring and Mitigation Plan for all ground-disturbing activities, provide mitigation measures to reduce potential impacts when existing information indicates that a site proposed for development may contain paleontological resources, and report to the site in the event potential paleontological resources are encountered.	Residual impacts would be less than significant with mitigation (Class II)
		GEO/mm-8.2: Worker Environmental Awareness Program. The qualified paleontologist shall conduct a Worker Environmental Awareness Program for all construction workers prior to the start of ground-disturbing activities (including vegetation removal, pavement removal, etc.). In the event construction crews are phased, additional trainings shall be conducted for new construction personnel. The training session shall focus on the recognition of the types of paleontological resources that could be encountered within the project site and the procedures to be followed if they are found. This information may be presented to contractors and their staff through the use of in-person "tailgate" meetings or other mechanisms (e.g., handouts). Documentation shall be retained demonstrating that all construction personnel attended the training.	
		GEO/mm-8.3: Paleontological Monitoring and Handling of Resources Inadvertently Discovered during Ground-Disturbing Activities. Part-time/on-call paleontological resources monitoring shall be conducted by a qualified paleontologist who meets the standards of the Society of Vertebrate Paleontology (2010), for all ground-disturbing activities that occur in previously undisturbed sediments, as outlined in the Paleontological Resources Monitoring and Mitigation Plan prepared to satisfy Mitigation Measure GEO/mm-8.1. If required per the requirements of the Paleontological Resources Monitoring and Mitigation Plan, the qualified paleontologist shall spot check the excavation on an intermittent basis and recommend whether the depth of required monitoring shall be revised based on his/her observations. Monitors shall have the authority to temporarily halt or divert work away from exposed fossils in order to recover the fossil specimens. Any significant fossils collected during project-related excavations shall be prepared to the point of identification and curated into an accredited repository with retrievable storage as designated in the Paleontological Resources Monitoring and Mitigation Plan. Monitors shall prepare daily logs detailing the types of activities and soils observed and any discoveries. The qualified paleontologist shall prepare a final monitoring and mitigation report to document the results of the monitoring effort.	
		If construction or other project personnel discover any potential fossils during construction, regardless of the depth of work or location, work at the discovery location shall cease in a 50-foot radius of the discovery until the qualified paleontologist has assessed the discovery and made recommendations as to the appropriate treatment. If the find is deemed significant, it shall be salvaged following the standards of the Society of Vertebrate Paleontology (2010) and curated with a certified repository.	

Project Impacts Component		Mitigation Measures	Residual Impacts
Off-Site Improvements	GEO Impact 9: Paleontological resources could be present in geological units that underlay the area of off-site improvements, and ground-disturbing activities could damage paleontological resources that may be present below the surface.	Implement Mitigation Measures GEO/mm-8.1 through GEO/mm-8.3.	Residual impacts would be less than significant with mitigation (Class II)
Cumulative	GEO Impact 10: The project would not result in a cumulatively considerable impact to geology and soils.	Implement Mitigation Measures GEO/mm-1.1, GEO/mm-5.1, GEO/mm-5.2, and GEO/mm-5.3, GEO/mm-8.1, GEO/mm-8.2, and GEO/mm-8.3.	Residual impacts would be less than significant with mitigation (Class II)
Greenhouse Gas E	Emissions		
Specific Plan Area	GHG Impact 1: The project could generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment.	Implement Mitigation Measures AQ/mm-3.1, AQ/mm-3.3, and TR/mm-3.1. GHG/mm-1.1: The following measures shall be implemented to reduce project-generated emissions of greenhouse gases: 1. To the extent practical, the proposed project shall reuse and recycle construction waste, including, but not limited to, soil, vegetation, concrete, lumber, metal, and cardboard. 2. The servicing of residential development by natural gas shall be prohibited, to the extent possible. In the event that natural gas service for residential development is installed, the following measures shall be implemented: a. The electrical systems for single-family homes shall be designed with sufficient capacity and all prewiring necessary to accommodate the future retrofit to all-electric (e.g., such that electric space heating, water heating, drying, and cooking appliances could be installed); and b. A greenhouse gas-reduction plan shall be prepared. The greenhouse gas-reduction plan shall identify additional on-site and/or off-site greenhouse gas-reduction measures to be implemented sufficient to fully offset greenhouse gas-reduction plan shall be submitted to County planning staff for review and approval prior to issuance of building construction permits. Under California Environmental Quality Act Guidelines Section 15126.4(c)(3) and (c)(4), respectively, a project's greenhouse gas emissions can be reduced by off-site measures, including offsets that are not otherwise required and measures that sequester greenhouse gases. In the event that feasible on-site greenhouse gas threshold of significance, off-site	Residual impacts would be less than significant with mitigation (Class II)

Project Component	Impacts	Mitigation Measures	Residual Impacts
----------------------	---------	---------------------	---------------------

mitigation measures may be included. Off-site mitigation measures may include "Direct Reduction Activities" or the purchase of "Carbon Offset Credits" as discussed below:

Direct Reduction Activities

Directly undertake or fund activities that will reduce or sequester greenhouse gas emissions. Greenhouse gas reduction credits shall achieve greenhouse gas emission reductions that are real, permanent, quantifiable, verifiable, enforceable, in accordance with the criteria set forth in the California Air Resources Board's most recent Process for the Review and Approval of Compliance Offset Protocols in Support of the Cap-and-Trade Regulation (2013). Greenhouse gas reduction credits shall be undertaken for the specific purpose of reducing projectgenerated greenhouse gas emissions and shall not include reductions that would otherwise be required by law. All Direct Reduction Activities and associated reduction credits shall be confirmed by an independent, qualified third-party. The "Direct Reduction Activity" shall be registered with an ARB-approved registry and in compliance with ARB-approved protocols. In accordance with the applicable Registry requirements, the Project applicant (or its designee) shall retain an independent, qualified third-party to confirm the greenhouse gas emissions reduction or sequestration achieved by the Direct Greenhouse Gas Reduction Activities against the applicable Registry protocol or methodology. The Project applicant (or its designee) shall then apply for issuance of carbon credits in accordance with the applicable Registry rules.

Carbon Offsets

Obtain and retire "Carbon Offsets." Carbon Offsets shall achieve greenhouse gas reductions that are real, permanent, quantifiable, verifiable, and enforceable. Carbon offsets shall be purchased from ARB-approved registries and shall comply with California Air Resources Board-approved protocols to ensure that offset credits accurately and reliably represent actual emissions reductions. If the purchase of carbon offsets is selected, offsets shall be purchased according to the San Luis Obispo Air Pollution Control District's preference, which is, in order of preference: (1) within the San Luis Obispo Air Pollution Control District jurisdictional area; (2) within the State of California; then (3) elsewhere in the United States. In the event that a project or program providing offsets to the project applicant/subsequent developer loses its accreditation, the project applicant/subsequent developer shall comply with the rules and procedures of retiring offsets specific to the registry involved and shall purchase an equivalent number of credits to recoup the loss.

Project Component	Impacts	Mitigation Measures	Residual Impacts
		To the extent possible, nonresidential development shall install electrically powered appliances and building mechanical equipment in place of natural gas-fueled equipment.	
		2-3. Encourage future land uses to participate in Central Coast Community Energy as the electricity provider if it is an option that would be available at the time of occupancy.	
		<u>-4.</u> The project shall provide organic waste pick up and shall provide the appropriate on-site enclosures consistent with County requirements.	
		4-5. The project shall be designed to incorporate drought-resistant and native plants.	
		5-6. The project shall be designed to incorporate water-efficient irrigation systems.	
		6-7. The project shall be designed to incorporate low-flow water fixtures.	
		7-8. The project shall install high-reflectance roofing materials (e.g., U.S. Environmental Protection Agency "Energy Star"-rated), to the extent practical, to reduce building heat absorption and summer energy costs.	
		9. The electrical systems for single-family homes shall be designed with sufficient capacity to accommodate Level 2 residential-use electric vehicle chargers.	
		 All residential structures shall include photovoltaic (PV) systems consistent with state requirements. 	
		8-11. Electric vehicle (EV) stations shall be provided in the multifamily units, commercial, school, and hotel uses consistent with state requirements.	
Off-Site Improvements	GHG Impact 2: Off-site improvements could generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment.	Implement Mitigation Measure AQ/mm-3.1.	Residual impacts would be less than significant with mitigation (Class II)
Specific Plan Area	GHG Impact 3: The project would conflict with an applicable plan, policy, or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases.	Implement Mitigation Measures AQ/mm-3.1, AQ/mm-3.3, GHG/mm-1.1, and TR/mm-3.1.	Residual impacts would be significant and unavoidable (Class I)
Off-Site Improvements	GHG Impact 4: Off-site improvements could conflict with an applicable plan, policy, or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases.	Mitigation is not necessary.	Residual impacts would be less than significant (Class III)

Project Component	Impacts	Mitigation Measures	Residual Impacts
Cumulative	GHG Impact 5: The project would result in a cumulatively considerable impact to greenhouse gas emissions.	Implement Mitigation Measure TR/mm-3.1.	Residual impacts would be significant and unavoidable (Class I)
Hazards and Hazar	rdous Materials		
Specific Plan Area	HAZ Impact 1: The project would not create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials.	Mitigation is not necessary.	Residual impacts would be less than significant (Class III)
Off-Site Improvements	HAZ Impact 2: Off-site improvements would not create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials.	Mitigation is not necessary.	Residual impacts would be less than significant (Class III)
Specific Plan Area	HAZ Impact 3: The project could create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment.	Implement Mitigation Measure AQ/mm-7.1.	Residual impacts would be less than significant with mitigation (Class II)
Off-Site Improvements	HAZ Impact 4: Off-site improvements could create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment.	Implement Mitigation Measures AQ/mm-7.1 and BIO/mm-16.1 through BIO/mm-16.3.	Residual impacts would be less than significant with mitigation (Class II)
Specific Plan Area	HAZ Impact 5: The project could emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school.	Mitigation is not necessary.	Residual impacts would be less than significant (Class III)
Off-Site Improvements	HAZ Impact 6: Off-site improvements could emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school.	Mitigation is not necessary.	Residual impacts would be less than significant (Class III)

Project Component	Impacts		Mitigation Measures	Residual Impacts
Off-Site Improvements	HAZ Impact 7: Off-site improvements would be located near a hazardous materials site pursuant to California Government Code Section 65962.5.	earth-me pursuan shall pre procedu and disp contaminativities the follow	· ·	Residual impacts would be less than significant with mitigation (Class II)
			Health and Safety	
		1. 2.	Accident prevention measures. The requirement that all construction crew members be trained regarding best practices for the appropriate handling, stockpiling, testing, and disposal of excavated materials prior to beginning work.	
		Soil Co	ntamination	
		1.	Procedures for the proper handling, stockpiling, testing, and disposal of excavated materials in accordance with California Code of Regulations Title 14 and Title 22.	
		2.	Soil contamination evaluation and management procedures, including how to properly identify potential contamination (e.g., soil staining, odors, buried material), the requirement that construction activities within a 50-foot radius of potentially contaminated soil be halted until the hazard has been assessed and appropriately addressed, the requirement that access to potentially contaminated areas be limited to properly trained personnel, and procedures for notification and reporting, including internal management and local agencies (e.g., California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection, County of San Luis Obispo Environmental Health Services), as needed.	
		3.	Monitoring of ground-disturbing activities for soil contamination may include visual and organic vapor monitoring by personnel with appropriate hazardous materials training, including 40 hours of Hazardous Waste Operations and Emergency Response (HAZWOPER) training.	
		4.		
		5.	Evaluation of all potentially contaminated materials encountered during project construction activities in accordance with applicable federal, state, and local regulations and/or guidelines governing hazardous waste. All materials deemed to be hazardous shall be remediated and/or disposed of following applicable regulatory agency regulations and/or guidelines. Disposal sites for both remediated and non-remediated soils shall be identified prior to beginning construction. All evaluation, remediation, treatment, and/or disposal of hazardous waste shall be supervised and documented by qualified hazardous waste personnel.	

Project Component	Impacts	Mitigation Measures	Residual Impacts
Specific Plan Area	HAZ Impact 8: The project would not impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan.	Mitigation is not necessary.	Residual impacts would be less than significant (Class III)
Off-Site Improvements	HAZ Impact 9: Off-site improvements would not impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan.	Mitigation is not necessary.	Residual impacts would be less than significant (Class III)
Cumulative	HAZ Impact 10: The project would not result in a cumulatively considerable impact to hazards and hazardous materials.	Mitigation is not necessary.	Residual impacts would be less than significant (Class III)
Hydrology and Wa	ter Quality		
Specific Plan Area	HYD Impact 1: The project could violate water quality standards or waste discharge requirements or otherwise substantially degrade surface or groundwater quality.	Mitigation is not necessary.	Residual impacts would be less than significant (Class III)
Off-Site Improvements	HYD Impact 2: Off-site improvements could violate water quality standards or waste discharge requirements or otherwise substantially degrade surface or groundwater quality.	Implement Mitigation Measures BIO/mm-17.1 through BIO/mm-17.3.	Residual impacts would be less than significant with mitigation (Class II)
Specific Plan Area	HYD Impact 3: The project could substantially decrease groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that the project may impede sustainable groundwater management of the basin.	Mitigation is not necessary.	Residual impacts would be less than significant (Class III)
Off-Site Improvements	HYD Impact 4: Off-site improvements could substantially decrease groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that the project may impede sustainable groundwater management of the basin.	Mitigation is not necessary.	Residual impacts would be less than significant (Class III)
Specific Plan Area	HYD Impact 5: The project could substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or increase surface water runoff in a manner that would result in substantial erosion or siltation, flooding, or an exceedance of stormwater drainage systems.	Mitigation is not necessary.	Residual impacts would be less than significant (Class III)

Project Component	Impacts	Mitigation Measures	Residual Impacts
Off-Site Improvements	HYD Impact 6: Off-site improvements could substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or increase surface water runoff in a manner that would result in substantial erosion or siltation, flooding, or an exceedance of stormwater drainage systems.	Implement Mitigation Measures BIO/mm-17.1 through BIO/mm-17.3.	Residual impacts would be less than significant with mitigation (Class II)
Specific Plan Area and Off-Site Improvements	HYD Impact 7: The project could conflict with or obstruct implementation of a water quality control plan or sustainable groundwater management plan.	Mitigation is not necessary.	Residual impacts would be less than significant (Class III)
Off-Site Improvements	HYD Impact 8: Off-site improvements would not risk the release of pollutants due to project inundation.	Mitigation is not necessary.	Residual impacts would be less than significant (Class III)
Cumulative	HYD Impact 9: The project would not result in a cumulatively considerable impact to hydrology and water quality.	Mitigation is not necessary.	Residual impacts would be less than significant (Class III)
Land Use and Plan	nning		
Specific Plan Area	LUP Impact 1: The project would not physically divide an established community.	Mitigation is not necessary.	Residual impacts would be less than significant (Class III)
Off-Site Improvements	LUP Impact 2: Off-site improvements would not physically divide an established community.	Mitigation is not necessary.	Residual impacts would be less than significant (Class III)
Specific Plan Area	LUP Impact 3: The project would adversely affect the local jobs-to-housing ratio within the project area and would be inconsistent with Land Use Planning Policy L-3 of the San Luis Obispo County Clean Air Plan.	No feasible mitigation has been identified.	Residual impacts would be significant and unavoidable (Class I)

Project Component	Impacts	Mitigation Measures	Residual Impacts
Specific Plan Area	LUP Impact 4: The project would result in an increase in regional VMT and criteria air pollutant emissions and would generate VMT per employee and criteria air pollution emissions above applicable thresholds; therefore, the project would be potentially inconsistent with Policies AQ 1.2 and AQ 3.3 of the County of San Luis Obispo General Plan Conservation and Open Space Element.	Implement Mitigation Measures AQ/mm-3.1 through AQ/mm-3.3, GHG/mm-1.1, TR/mm-2.1, and TR/mm-3.1.	Residual impacts would be less than significant with mitigation (Class II)
Specific Plan Area	LUP Impact 5: The project would result in the net loss of CRPR 4 and Watch List plant species, native oak woodland, and sensitive habitats; therefore, the project would be potentially inconsistent with goals and policies of the County of San Luis Obispo General Plan Conservation Open Space Element pertaining to preservation of biological resources and Policy 3.8 of the Parks and Recreation Element.	Implement Mitigation Measures BIO/mm-2.1 through BIO/mm-2.3, BIO/mm-4.1, BIO/mm-15.1, BIO/mm-16.1, BIO/mm-18.1 through BIO/mm-18.4, and BIO/mm-19.1.	Residual impacts would be significant and unavoidable (Class I)
Specific Plan Area	LUP Impact 6: The project could be inconsistent with Policy 2.2, Goal 2/Objective B, and Policies 6.4, 6.9, and 6.10 of the County of San Luis Obispo General Plan Parks and Recreation Element and three Public Facilities, Services, and Resources policies in the South County Inland Area Plan.	Mitigation is not necessary.	Residual impacts would be less than significant (Class III)
Specific Plan Area	LUP Impact 7: The project could be inconsistent with policies within the County of San Luis Obispo General Plan Conservation and Open Space Element, Framework for Planning (Inland), Land Use Ordinance, and South County Inland Area Plan related to preservation of rural visual character, compatibility with the natural landscape, and preservation of views of oak woodlands and other visually significant features.	Implement Mitigation Measures AES/mm-3.1 and, AES/mm-3.2, and AES/mm-7.1.	Residual impacts would be less than significant with mitigation (Class II)
Specific Plan Area	LUP Impact 8: The project could be inconsistent with policies in the Sustainable Communities Strategy and County Framework for Planning (Inland) associated with establishment of development and utility services within of existing transit corridors and/or urban reserve line/village reserve line boundaries.	Implement Mitigation Measure PS/mm-1.1.	Residual impacts would be less than significant with mitigation (Class II)

Project Component	Impacts	Mitigation Measures	Residual Impacts Residual impacts would be less than significant (Class III)
Off-Site Improvements	LUP Impact 9: Off-site improvements would not conflict with applicable land use plans, policies, or regulations adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect.	Mitigation is not necessary.	
Cumulative	LUP Impact 10: The project would result in cumulative impacts associated with inconsistency with goals and policies identified within the County of San Luis Obispo General Plan Conservation and Open Space Element, Framework for Planning (Inland), Land Use Ordinance, and South County Area Plan regarding preservation and no net loss of sensitive biological resources and preservation of rural visual character.	Implement Mitigation Measures BIO/mm-2.1 through BIO/mm-2.3, BIO/mm-4.1, BIO/mm-15.1, BIO/mm-16.1, BIO/mm-18.1 through BIO/mm-18.4, BIO/mm-19.1, and AES/mm-3.1 through AES/mm-3.23, and AES/mm-7.1.	Residual impacts would be significant and unavoidable (Class I)
Mineral Resources	S		
Cumulative	MR Impact 1: The project would not result in a cumulatively considerable impact to mineral resources.	Mitigation is not necessary.	Residual impacts would be less than significant (Class III)
Noise			
Specific Plan Area	N Impact 1: The project would generate a substantial temporary or permanent increase in ambient noise levels in excess of established standards.	N/mm-1.1: The following mitigation measures shall be implemented to reduce exposure to short-term construction noise.	Residual impacts would be less
		 Unless otherwise provided for in a validly issued permit or approval, or as otherwise exempted under County of San Luis Obispo Land Use Ordinance Section 22.10.120(A)(7), noise-generating construction activities should be limited to between the hours of 7:00 a.m. and 7:00 p.m. Noise-generating construction activities should not occur on Sundays or legal holidays. 	than significant with mitigation (Class II)
		 Construction equipment should be properly maintained and equipped with noise-reduction intake and exhaust mufflers and engine shrouds, in accordance with manufacturers' recommendations. Equipment-engine shrouds should be closed during equipment operation. 	
		3. Equipment shall be turned off when not in use for an excess of 5 minutes, except for equipment that requires idling to maintain performance.	
		 Construction haul truck routes shall be routed away from nearby noise- sensitive land uses to the extent possible. 	
		 Staging and queuing areas shall be located at the farthest distance possible from nearby noise-sensitive land use identified in the project area at the time of construction. 	

Project Component	Impacts		Mitigation Measures	Residual Impacts
	6.	Stationary equipment (e.g., generators, compressors) shall be located at the farthest distance possible from nearby noise-sensitive land use identified in the project area at the time of construction.		
		7.	A public liaison shall be appointed for project construction and shall be responsible for addressing public concerns related to construction-generated noise, including excessive noise. As needed, the liaison shall determine the cause of the concern (e.g., starting too early, bad muffler) and implement measures to address the concern. Where necessary, additional measures, such as equipment repairs, equipment enclosures, or temporary barriers, shall be implemented to address local concerns.	
		8.	Signage shall be placed at the project site construction entrance(s) to advise the public of anticipated dates of construction. The signage shall include the phone number of the public liaison appointed to address construction-related noise concerns.	
			.2: The following mitigation measures shall be implemented to reduce long- posure to transportation and non-transportation noise:	
		1.	The County of San Luis Obispo shall require acoustical assessments to be prepared as part of the County development review process for future noise-sensitive land uses located within the projected 60 A-weighted decibels Community Noise Equivalent Level noise contour of U.S. Route 101 (i.e., within 1,005 feet from the centerline of U.S. Route 101, refer to Figure 4 in Environmental Impact Report Appendix I). The acoustical assessments shall address compatibility with the County of San Luis Obispo's noise standards for transportation noise sources. Where the acoustical assessments determine that transportation noise levels would exceed applicable County noise standards, noise-reduction measures shall be incorporated sufficient to reduce operational noise levels to below applicable noise standards. Such measures may include, but are not limited to, the incorporation of setbacks, sound barriers, or berms. The emphasis of such measures shall be placed upon site planning and project design. (Refer to Table 4.13-6 of this Environmental Impact Report for noise-sensitive land uses and corresponding noise standards.)	
		2.	The County shall require acoustical assessments to be prepared as part of the environmental review process for future commercial land uses involving the proposed installation of exterior noise-generating equipment, including, but not limited to, back-up power generators, trash compactors, amplified public address systems, and commercial-use air conditioning condensers. The acoustical assessments shall evaluate potential noise impacts attributable to the proposed project in comparison to applicable County noise standards for stationary noise sources (refer to Table 4.13-7). The acoustical assessment shall evaluate impacts to nearby existing off-site, as well as future planned on-site, noise-sensitive land uses. Where the acoustical analysis determines that stationary-source noise levels would exceed applicable County noise standards, noise-reduction measures shall be incorporated sufficient to reduce operational noise	

Project Component	Impacts	Mitigation Measures	Residual Impacts
		but are not limited to, the incorporation of setbacks, sound barriers, berms, hourly limitations, or equipment enclosures. The emphasis of such measures shall be placed upon site planning and project design (see Table 4.13-7 of this Environmental Impact Report for applicable County of San Luis Obispo noise standards).	
Off-Site Improvements	N Impact 2: Off-site improvements would generate a substantial temporary or permanent increase in ambient noise levels in excess of established standards.	Implement Mitigation Measure N/mm-1.1 .	Residual impacts would be less than significant with mitigation (Class II)
Specific Plan Area	N Impact 3: The project would not result in the generation of excessive short- or long-term groundborne vibration or noise levels.	Mitigation is not necessary.	Residual impacts would be less than significant (Class III)
Off-Site Improvements	N Impact 4: Off-site improvements would not result in the generation of excessive short- or long-term groundborne vibration or noise levels.	Mitigation is not necessary.	Residual impacts would be less than significant (Class III)
Cumulative	N Impact 5: The project would not result in a cumulatively considerable impact to noise.	Mitigation is not necessary.	Residual impacts would be less than significant (Class III)
Population and Ho	using		
Specific Plan Area	PH Impact 1: The project would induce substantial unplanned population growth in the Nipomo area.	No feasible mitigation has been identified.	Residual impacts would be significant and unavoidable (Class I)
Off-Site Improvements	PH Impact 2: Off-site improvements would not result in substantial unplanned population growth.	Mitigation is not necessary.	Residual impacts would be less than significant (Class III)
Specific Plan Area	PH Impact 3: The project would not displace existing people or housing.	Mitigation is not necessary.	Residual impacts would be less than significant (Class III)

Project Component	Impacts	Mitigation Measures	Residual Impacts
Off-Site Improvements	PH Impact 4: Off-site improvements would not displace existing people or housing.	Mitigation is not necessary.	Residual impacts would be less than significant (Class III)
Cumulative	PH Impact 5: The project would result in a cumulatively considerable impact related to substantial and unplanned population growth.	No feasible mitigation has been identified.	Residual impacts would be significant and unavoidable (Class I)
Public Services			
Specific Plan Area	PS Impact 1: The project would result in an increased need for fire protection services.	PS/mm-1.1: Provision of Land for a New Fire Station. The project applicant shall be required to coordinate with the County of San Luis Obispo and California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection to identify and dedicate land for the future construction and operation of a new fire station in the community of Nipomo. The dedication of land for the new fire station shall be included in the Development Agreement between the project applicant and the County of San Luis Obispo.	Residual impacts would be less than significant with mitigation (Class II)
Specific Plan Area	PS Impact 2: The project would not contribute to the existing need for expanded police protection services within the project area.	Mitigation is not necessary.	Residual impacts would be less than significant (Class III)
Specific Plan Area	PS Impact 3: The project could increase demand on existing LMUSD facilities.	Mitigation is not necessary.	Residual impacts would be less than significant (Class III)
Specific Plan Area	PS Impact 4: The project could result in an increased demand on public park facilities.	Mitigation is not necessary.	Residual impacts would be less than significant (Class III)
Specific Plan Area	PS Impact 5: The project could increase demand on library services.	Mitigation is not necessary.	Residual impacts would be less than significant (Class III)
Off-Site Improvements	PS Impact 6: Off-site improvements would not result in an increased need for fire protection services.	Mitigation is not necessary.	Residual impacts would be less than significant (Class III)

Project Component	Impacts	Mitigation Measures	Residual Impacts
Off-Site Improvements	PS Impact 7: Off-site improvements would not contribute to the existing need for expanded police protection services within the project area.	Mitigation is not necessary.	Residual impacts would be less than significant (Class III)
Off-Site Improvements	PS Impact 8: Off-site improvements would not increase demand on existing LMUSD facilities.	Mitigation is not necessary.	Residual impacts would be less than significant (Class III)
Off-Site Improvements	PS Impact 9: Off-site improvements would not result in an increased demand on public park facilities.	Mitigation is not necessary.	Residual impacts would be less than significant (Class III)
Off-Site Improvements	PS Impact 10: Off-site improvements would not increase demand on library services.	Mitigation is not necessary.	Residual impacts would be less than significant (Class III)
Cumulative	PS Impact 11: The project could result in cumulative impacts related to public services.	Implement Mitigation Measure PS/mm-1.1.	Residual impacts would be less than significant with mitigation (Class II)
Recreation			
Specific Plan Area	REC Impact 1: The project could increase the use of existing neighborhood, community, or regional parks or other recreational facilities, such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated.	Mitigation is not necessary.	Residual impacts would be less than significant (Class III)
Off-Site Improvements	REC Impact 2: Off-site improvements would not increase the use of existing neighborhood or regional parks or other recreational facilities, such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated.	Mitigation is not necessary.	Residual impacts would be less than significant (Class III)
Specific Plan Area	REC Impact 3: The project includes the development of recreational facilities that may have an adverse physical effect on the environment.	Implement Mitigation Measures AES/mm-3.1 and AES/mm-3.2, AQ/mm-3.1 and AQ/mm-3.2, AQ/mm-7.1, BIO/mm-1.1 through BIO/mm-1.6, BIO/mm-2.1 through BIO/mm-2.3, BIO/mm-3.1, BIO/mm-4.1 and BIO/mm-4.2, BIO/mm-5.1, BIO/mm-6.1, BIO/mm-7.1, BIO/mm-8.1, BIO/mm-9.1, BIO/mm-14.1, BIO/mm-15.1, BIO/mm-18.1 through BIO/mm-18.4, CR/mm-1.1 through CR/mm-1.4, GEO/mm-1.1, GEO/mm-5.1 through GEO/mm-5.3, GEO/mm-8.1 through GEO/mm-8.3, N/mm-1.1 and N/mm-1.2, USS/mm-3.1, and WF/mm-3.1.	Residual impacts would be less than significant with mitigation (Class II)

Project Component	Impacts	Mitigation Measures	Residual Impacts
Cumulative	REC Impact 4: The project could result in a cumulatively considerable impact to recreational facilities.	Implement Mitigation Measures AES/mm-3.1 and AES/mm-3.2, AQ/mm-7.1, BIO/mm-1.1 through BIO/mm-1.6, BIO/mm-2.1 through BIO/mm-2.3, BIO/mm-3.1, BIO/mm-4.1 and BIO/mm-4.2, BIO/mm-5.1, BIO/mm-6.1, BIO/mm-7.1, BIO/mm-8.1, BIO/mm-9.1, BIO/mm-14.1, BIO/mm-15.1, BIO/mm-18.1 through BIO/mm-18.4, CR/mm-1.1 through CR/mm-1.4, HAZ/mm-7.1, GEO/mm-1.1, GEO/mm-5.1 through GEO/mm-5.3, GEO/mm-8.1 through GEO/mm-8.3, N/mm-1.1 and N/mm-1.2, USS/mm-3.1, and WF/mm-3.1.	Residual impacts would be less than significant with mitigation (Class II)
Transportation			
Specific Plan Area	TR Impact 1: Phased implementation of the Specific Plan Area could conflict with a program, plan, ordinance, or policy addressing the circulation system, including transit, roadway, bicycle, and pedestrian facilities.	Mitigation is not necessary.	Residual impacts would be less than significant (Class III)
Off-Site Improvements	TR Impact 2: Off-site improvements could conflict with a program, plan, ordinance, or policy addressing the circulation system, including transit, roadway, bicycle, and pedestrian facilities.	Mitigation is not necessary.	Residual impacts would be less than significant (Class III)
Specific Plan Area	TR Impact 3: Buildout of the Specific Plan Area would exceed the County VMT thresholds and therefore would not be consistent with State CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.3(b). VMT per employee would be incrementally reduced compared to existing conditions; however, the project-related increase in residential VMT per capita and overall VMT would exceed the County VMT thresholds.	TR/mm-3.1: A transportation demand management program or identification of transportation demand management strategies to implement would be required of any subsequent developer within the Specific Plan Areaeach applicant, or as appropriate for the project as a whole. The residential, commercial, education, and/or hotel development applicant in consultation with the County of San Luis Obispo and SLO Regional Rideshare will choose feasible transportation demand management strategies and tailor them to the development proposal. The applicant and/or subsequent developers shall coordinate with the Regional Transit Authority to include the Specific Plan Area as part of a serviced transit route.	Residual impacts would be significant and unavoidable (Class I)
	·	Potential measures to reduce vehicle miles traveled include, but are not limited to:	
		 Improve or increase access to transit Increase access to common goods and services Incorporate affordable housing into the project Orient the project towards transit, bicycle, and pedestrian facilities Improve bicycle and/or pedestrian facilities and/or transit services Limit or eliminate parking supply Implement or provide access to commute reduction programs Provide car-, bike-, and ride-sharing programs Provide on-site amenities at places of work 	

Project Component	Impacts	Mitigation Measures	Residual Impacts
		Measures that relate to reducing the cost of transit through e.g., commuter benefit programs by employers and free or reduced-cost transit passes for new residents shall be prioritized to the extent feasible.	
Off-Site Improvements	TR Impact 4: Off-site improvements would not generate VMT in a manner that would be inconsistent with State CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.3(b).	Mitigation is not necessary.	Residual impacts would be less than significant (Class III)
Specific Plan Area	TR Impact 5: Phased buildout of the Specific Plan Area would not substantially increase hazards due to a geometric design feature or incompatible uses.	Mitigation is not necessary.	Residual impacts would be less than significant (Class III)
Off-Site Improvements	TR Impact 6 : Off-site improvements would not substantially increase hazards due to a geometric design feature or incompatible uses.	Mitigation is not necessary.	Residual impacts would be less than significant (Class III)
Specific Plan Area	TR Impact 7: Phased buildout of the Specific Plan Area would not result in inadequate emergency access.	Mitigation is not necessary.	Residual impacts would be less than significant (Class III)
Off-Site Improvements	TR Impact 8: Off-site improvements would not result in inadequate emergency access.	Mitigation is not necessary.	Residual impacts would be less than significant (Class III)
Cumulative	TR Impact 9: The project would result in a cumulatively considerable impact to transportation and traffic.	Implement Mitigation Measure TR/mm-3.1.	Residual impacts would be significant and unavoidable (Class I)
Tribal Cultural Res	cources		
Specific Plan Area	TCR Impact 1: Proposed development of the Specific Plan Area could directly and indirectly impact CRHR-eligible resources and resources considered by the County to be significant pursuant to PRC Section 5024.1 (DR-001, P-40-02132, and P-40-002273).	Implement Mitigation Measures CR/mm-2.1 through CR/mm-2.4. TCR/mm-1.1: Deeded Repatriation Location. A specific location, protected by a deed restriction, shall be dedicated to repatriate cultural materials encountered during future archaeological study, development, and occupation within the Specific Plan Area. An accessible vault, protected from the elements, and accessible to the tribes shall be constructed within the boundary of DR-001, but outside of areas known to contain surface deposits. The specific location, size, and construction methodology of the vault will be developed in direct consultation with the consulting tribes.	Residual impacts would be less than significant with mitigation (Class II)

Project Component	Impacts	Mitigation Measures	Residual Impacts
		TCR/mm-1.2: Project Design Considerations. The applicant shall incorporate, to the extent feasible, themes, infrastructure, and placenames associated with local Chumash tribes into the overall project design throughout all phases of future development. These design considerations shall include, but not be limited to the following aspects:	
		 Designated areas for local Chumash tribes to use for various purposes, such as ceremonial gatherings, education, and events; 	
		Planting of native vegetation, specifically species varieties that have significance to the local Chumash tribes;	
		3. Incorporation of informative and interpretive signage;	
		 Incorporation of tribal names, placenames, and phrases for appropriate project design features; and 	
		 Development of designated trails outside of the boundaries of known resources to limit unauthorized use and reduce potential for looting. 	
Off-Site Improvements	TCR Impact 2: Off-site improvements could result in adverse effects to known and unknown CRHR-Eligible Resources or resources considered by the County to be significant pursuant to PRC Section 5024.1.	Implement Mitigation Measures CR/mm-2.3, CR/mm-2.4, and CR/mm-3.1.	Residual impacts would be less than significant with mitigation (Class II)
Cumulative	TCR Impact 3: Project implementation could result in the cumulative disturbance and destruction of tribal cultural resources, including known and unknown CRHR-Eligible Resources and resources considered by the County to be significant tribal cultural resources pursuant to PRC Section 5024.1.	Implement Mitigation Measures CR/mm-2.1. through CR/mm-2.4, CR/mm-3.1, TCR/mm-1.1, and TCR/mm-1.2.	Residual impacts would be less than significant with mitigation (Class II)
Utilities and Service	ee Systems		
Specific Plan Area	USS Impact 1: The project would require the construction of new or expanded water, wastewater treatment, stormwater drainage, electric power, natural gas, and telecommunications facilities.	Implement Mitigation Measures AQ/mm-3.1, AQ/mm-3.2, AQ/mm-7.1, BIO/mm-1.1 through BIO/mm-1.6, BIO/mm-2.1 through BIO/mm-2.3, BIO/mm-3.1, BIO/mm-4.1 and BIO/mm-4.2, BIO/mm-5.1, BIO/mm-6.1, BIO/mm-7.1, BIO/mm-8.1, BIO/mm-9.1, BIO/mm-14.1, BIO/mm-15.1, BIO/mm-18.1 through BIO/mm-18.4, CR/mm-1.1 through CR/mm-1.4, GEO/mm-8.1 through GEO/mm-8.3, and N/mm-1.1.	Residual impacts would be less than significant with mitigation (Class II)
Off-Site Improvements	USS Impact 2: The project would require the construction of new and expanded off-site water and wastewater system improvements.	Implement Mitigation Measures AQ/mm-3.1, AQ/mm-3.2, AQ/mm-7.1, BIO/mm-1.1 through BIO/mm-1.6, BIO/mm-2.1 through BIO/mm-2.3, BIO/mm-3.1, BIO/mm-4.1 and BIO/mm-4.2, BIO/mm-5.1, BIO/mm-6.1, BIO/mm-7.1, BIO/mm-8.1, BIO/mm-11.1, BIO/mm-13.1, BIO/mm-16.1, BIO/mm-17.1 through BIO/mm-17.3, BIO/mm-19.1, CR/mm-1.1 through CR/mm-1.4, HAZ/mm-7.1, GEO/mm-8.1 through GEO/mm-8.3, and N/mm-1.1.	Residual impacts would be less than significant with mitigation (Class II)

Project Component	Impacts	Mitigation Measures	Residual Impacts
Specific Plan Area	USS Impact 3: The project may not have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project and reasonably foreseeable future development during normal, dry, and multiple dry years.	USS/mm-3.1: Prior to issuance of development permits for any project phase, the project developer shall be required to provide proof of water supply sufficient to meet the estimated water demand for proposed development based on the demand projections included in the Dana Reserve WSA. The proof of water supply shall include approval an affirmative concurrence from the NCSD that they have adequate water supply to serve the development and shall be subject to review and approval by the County prior to issuance of any development permits.	Residual impacts would be less than significant with mitigation (Class II)
Off-Site Improvements	USS Impact 4: Off-site improvements would not result in an increase in demand on water supply.	Mitigation is not necessary.	Residual impacts would be less than significant (Class III)
Specific Plan Area	USS Impact 5: The NCSD could have adequate capacity to treat wastewater generated by the project.	Mitigation is not necessary.	Residual impacts would be less than significant (Class III)
Off-Site Improvements	USS Impact 6: Off-site improvements would not result in an increase in demand on wastewater services.	Mitigation is not necessary.	Residual impacts would be less than significant (Class III)
Specific Plan Area	USS Impact 7: The project could generate solid waste in excess of the capacity of local infrastructure or otherwise impair state or local solid waste reduction goals.	Mitigation is not necessary.	Residual impacts would be less than significant (Class III)
Off-Site Improvements	USS Impact 8: Off-site improvements could generate solid waste in excess of the capacity of local infrastructure or otherwise impair state or local solid waste reduction goals.	Mitigation is not necessary.	Residual impacts would be less than significant (Class III)
Specific Plan Area	USS Impact 9: The project would comply with federal, state, and local solid waste reduction goals.	Mitigation is not necessary.	Residual impacts would be less than significant (Class III)
Off-Site Improvements	USS Impact 10: Off-site improvements would comply with federal, state, and local solid waste reduction goals.	Mitigation is not necessary.	Residual impacts would be less than significant (Class III)
Cumulative	USS Impact 11: The project could result in a cumulatively considerable impact to utilities and service systems.	Implement Mitigation Measure USS/mm-3.1.	Residual impacts would be less than significant with mitigation (Class II)

Project Component	Impacts	Mitigation Measures	Residual Impacts
Wildfire			
Specific Plan Area	WF Impact 1: The project could impair an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan.	WF/mm-1.1: Prior to occupancy of any Dana Reserve Specific Plan neighborhoods, the master Dana Reserve Homeowner's Association shall coordinate with individual Dana Reserve Specific Plan neighborhood Homeowner's Associations and County of San Luis Obispo Fire Department to identify temporary refuge areas throughout the community. Temporary refuge areas shall be documented and available for residents and guests within the Specific Plan Area. Refuge areas may include the following:	Residual impacts would be less than significant with mitigation (Class II)
		Parking lots in commercial and multi-family residence areas Neighborhoods parks	
		Neighborhoods parks Public parks	
		Neighborhood pocket parks	
		The master Homeowner's Association shall also coordinate with individual Dana Reserve Specific Plan neighborhood Homeowner's Associations and County of San Luis Obispo Fire Department to develop a method of public outreach to provide information regarding emergency planning and alerting within the Specific Plan Area. Information to be provided to the public shall include, but not be limited to, the following:	
		Location of established refuge areas	
		2. Emergency entry and exit points within the community	
		3. Nearest emergency entry and exit points to each specific neighborhood	
		4. Family emergency planning	
		 Types of emergency alerting and methods to receive emergency notifications 	
		6. Emergency supply kit necessities	
		7. Care options for pets and other animals in an emergency	
		Public outreach shall be conducted annually and include any updated emergency planning information, as necessary. Compliance shall be documented with the County of San Luis Obispo.	
Off-Site Improvements	WF Impact 2: Off-site improvements could impair an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan.	Mitigation is not necessary.	Residual impacts would be less than significant (Class III)
Specific Plan Area	WF Impact 3: The project could exacerbate wildfire risks due to development within a high fire hazard severity zone.	Prior to project occupancy, the master Homeowner's Association shall adopt Covenants, Conditions, and Restrictions that include requirements for the maintenance and protection of the open space areas that ensure that these spaces are maintained in perpetuity. Prior to adoption by the master Homeowner's Association, Covenants, Conditions, and Restrictions shall be created in coordination with the County of San Luis Obispo and the Nipomo Community Services District to ensure feasibility of open space management practices. The	Residual impacts would be less than significant with mitigation (Class II)

Project Component	Impacts		Mitigation Measures	Residual Impacts
		Homeow protectio	nts, Conditions, and Restrictions shall be enforced by the master vner's Association throughout the lifetime of the project. Language regarding in and management of open space areas as it pertains to wildfire may but shall not be limited to:	
		1.	Smoking, use of cooking equipment, or any other ignition source is prohibited in the open space areas.	
		2.	Safety precautions are required when using equipment capable of creating a spark; this includes spark arrestors.	
		3.	All fireworks or other devices that could cause an ignition of a fire are prohibited throughout the Dana Reserve.	
		4.	Overnight camping is prohibited.	
		5.	Motorized vehicles are not permitted in the open space areas. (except emergency vehicles, vehicles permitted by the Homeowner's Association to conduct official business, and single-rider motorized vehicles adapted for recreational use by people with disabilities).	
		6.	Discharging or carrying firearms, crossbows, fireworks, or projectile weapons of any kind is not permitted (except law enforcement officials) in the Dana Reserve.	
		7.	The Homeowner's Association will maintain fire prevention signage in fire- prone areas near or on trails.	
		8.	The Homeowner's Association will conduct vegetation management in the open spaces, in the retention basins, on trails, and near U.S. Route101 that prevent or reduce the ability for a wildfire to spread to other properties in proximity. Methods used will provide for the protection of the open space environment.	
		9.	Fencing or barriers adjoining the open space areas, whether owned privately or by the Homeowner's Association, will be constructed of a fire-resistive material so that it will not convey or contribute to the spread of fire from or to the open space areas (exception may include an open-type fence, such as a split-rail fence). Combustible fence material will not be used within 5 feet of structures.	
		10.	Vegetation management will be consistent with Dana Reserve's County of San Luis Obispo-approved oak woodland habitat management plan.	
		11.	The Homeowner's Association is authorized to enter into contracts and agreements for vegetation management in and near the open space areas that includes hand, mechanical, animal, prescribe fire, herbicide, and other methods consistent with accepted vegetation management practices.	
		12.	The Homeowner's Association is authorized to increase assessment and fines necessary to protect and maintain the open space areas. This may include funds for the hiring of staff and contracts.	

Project Component	Impacts	Mitigation Measures	Residual Impacts
		13. The Homeowner's Association is authorized to enter into agreements with agencies, land conservancies, and other organizations who also have a mutual concern for the protection of the open space areas.	
Off-Site Improvements	WF Impact 4: The project could exacerbate wildfire risks due to development within a high fire hazard severity zone.	Mitigation is not necessary.	Residual impacts would be less than significant (Class III)
Specific Plan Area	WF Impact 5: The project would require installation of internal roads, public utility easements, and utility infrastructure that may exacerbate fire risk.	Mitigation is not necessary.	Residual impacts would be less than significant (Class III)
Off-Site Improvements	WF Impact 6: Off-site improvements could exacerbate fire risk.	Mitigation is not necessary.	Residual impacts would be less than significant (Class III)
Specific Plan Area	WF Impact 7: The project could expose people or structures to risk associated with downslope or downstream flooding or landslides.	Mitigation is not necessary.	Residual impacts would be less than significant (Class III)
Off-Site Improvements	WF Impact 8: The project could expose people or structures to risk associated with downslope or downstream flooding or landslides.	Mitigation is not necessary.	Residual impacts would be less than significant (Class III)
Cumulative	WF Impact 9: The project would not result in a cumulatively considerable impact related to wildfire.	Mitigation is not necessary.	Residual impacts would be less than significant (Class III)
Growth-Inducing I	mpacts		
Specific Plan Area Cumulative	GI Impact 1: The project would result in substantial growth inducement associated with the proposed project's population as well as the potential to induce additional spatial, economic, or population growth in a geographic area.	No feasible mitigation has been identified.	Residual impacts would be significant and unavoidable (Class I)

6. AREAS OF CONTROVERSY

Section 15123(b)(2) of the State CEQA Guidelines requires identification of the areas of controversy known to the Lead Agency, including issues raised by agencies and the public. In compliance with State CEQA Guidelines Section 15082, as amended, an Initial Study and Notice of Preparation (IS/NOP) was circulated on June 24, 2021, to various agencies, organizations, and interested persons throughout the region. The proposed project was described, the scope of the environmental review was identified, and agencies and the public were invited to review and comment on the IS/NOP. The close of the IS/NOP review period was July 25, 2021. Following the close of the 30-day comment period on the IS/NOP, a review of comment letters was conducted to identify any key issues that may require additional technical studies or background research. Pursuant to State CEQA Guidelines Section 15082 (c)(1), for projects of statewide, regional, or areawide significance, the Lead Agency is required to conduct at least one scoping meeting. The scoping meeting is for jurisdictional agencies and interested persons or groups to provide comments regarding, but not limited to, the range of actions, alternatives, mitigation measures, and environmental effects to be analyzed. The County hosted a scoping meeting on July 19, 2021, via a Zoom webinar.

Areas of controversy raised by public agencies, public organizations, and individual members of the public primarily included concerns regarding neighborhood compatibility, including the density of the proposed project, light pollution, and safety; the sustainability <u>and adequacy</u> of the potable water supply in the project region; an increase in traffic congestion and associated traffic-related noise; loss of oak woodlands and sensitive biological resources; and development within an area prone to wildfire risk; <u>and impacts to parks and recreational facilities</u>. These concerns are addressed in the evaluation and identification of potential mitigation measures for each environmental issue area included in Chapter 4, *Environmental Impact Analysis*, respectively.

7. PROJECT ALTERNATIVES

Section 15123(b)(3) of the State CEQA Guidelines requires identification of the choice among project alternatives. Alternatives to the proposed project are discussed in detail in Chapter 5, *Alternatives Analysis*, of this EIR in accordance with Section 15126.6 of the State CEQA Guidelines. Alternatives to be considered under CEQA are those that would avoid or substantially lessen one or more of the significant environmental effects identified during evaluation of the proposed project. As identified in Table ES-2, the project would result in significant impacts related to air quality, biological resources, greenhouse (GHG) gas emissions, land use and planning, population and housing, and transportation. In order to maximize the range of alternatives considered and provide flexibility during project approval, the EIR evaluated a total of eight variations of the proposed project aimed at reducing the project's significant and unavoidable impacts. In addition, the EIR also evaluated the No Project Alternative as required by State CEQA Guidelines Section 15126.6(e), to allow decision makers to compare the impacts of approving the project with the impacts of not approving the project.

State CEQA Guidelines Section 15126.6(c) requires that an EIR disclose potential alternatives that were considered and eliminated along with a brief explanation of the reason for elimination. Factors used to eliminate alternatives from detailed consideration include: (1) failure to meet most of the basic project objectives; (2) infeasibility; and/or (3) inability to avoid significant environmental impacts. The following alternatives were considered but ultimately eliminated based on the above stated criteria:

• Burton Mesa Chaparral Avoidance Alternative. Under this potential alternative, proposed development would be limited to the eastern portion of the project site by increasing the density of proposed single-family residential dwellings, multi-family residential dwellings, and proposed commercial development in the eastern portion of the site and reducing the area of proposed

buildout, particularly in sensitive areas of biological resources in the western portion of the Specific Plan Area, including areas supporting Burton Mesa chaparral. While the Burton Mesa Chaparral Avoidance Alternative would substantially avoid and reduce impacts to biological resources and reduce air pollutant and GHG emissions, reduce vehicle miles traveled (VMT), reduce unplanned population growth, and improve project consistency with applicable plans and policies, this alternative would not reduce significant impacts related to aesthetic resources and would potentially increase impacts associated with compatibility with the surrounding areas. Further, this alternative would not meet all of the basic project objectives, such as providing a diversity of housing types, including affordable homes, and connecting on-site residential neighborhoods to the community through development of pedestrian, bicycle, and equestrian trails via Collector B and an on-site trail system in the majority of the Specific Plan Area. The Burton Mesa Chaparral Avoidance Alternative does not meet all of the basic project objectives and is likely infeasible from a cost perspective. It also has the potential to generate more severe and/or new potentially significant impacts; therefore, this alternative was eliminated from further review, consistent with State CEQA Guidelines Section 15126.6(c).

- Residential Rural Development Alternative. This alternative would result in a future buildout scenario that is consistent with the existing Residential Rural (RR) land use designation for the project site. While this alternative would result in residential development in a manner that would be more consistent with the scale of adjacent residential land uses and would reduce air pollutant emissions, GHG emissions, VMT, and population growth, the Residential Rural Development Alternative would not meet the basic project objectives related to providing a diversity of housing types, including affordable homes. This alternative also has the potential to increase impacts related to utilities and service systems. Therefore, the Residential Rural Development Alternative was eliminated from further discussion in accordance with State CEQA Guidelines Section 15126.6(c).
- Exclusively Commercial/Retail Development Alternative. Under this alternative, the 288-acre project site would not be developed with residential uses and would instead be developed with flex commercial and village commercial uses over 238.2 acres of the project site. While this alternative would reduce air pollutant emissions, GHG emissions, VMT, and population growth, the Exclusively Retail Development Alternative would not meet the basic project objectives and would be inconsistent with the General Plan. This alternative would not meet project objectives and County objectives (as defined in the County's MOU with the Applicant) related to providing a diversity of housing types, including affordable homes, and providing public parks. This alternative would continue to result in the loss of oak woodland, Burton Mesa chaparral, and other natural habitats and would alter the existing visual character of the project site. This alternative may also be infeasible due to the project area's inability to support this significantly increased extent of commercial/retail uses. Since the Exclusively Commercial/Retail Development Alternative would not meet the basic project objectives, if potentially infeasible, and would not reduce all of the project's significant impacts, this alternative was eliminated from further consideration, consistent with State CEQA Guidelines Section 15126.6(c).
- Alternative Location Alternative. Under this alternative, the project would not be developed on the proposed 288-acre Dana Reserve and would be developed at another location within the county. An alternative location would need to be large enough to accommodate approximately 173 acres of residential land uses, including 831 residential single-family units, 458 residential multi-family units, and up to 152 ADUs; 22.3 acres of commercial land uses; 49.8 acres of open space; 21.9 acres of roadways; and 11 acres of public recreational facilities. The applicant does not own alternative sites that could accommodate the proposed development; therefore, it is uncertain whether an alternative site would be feasible, successfully reduce the project's significant impacts, and meet the basic project objectives. Therefore, the Alternative Location

Alternative was eliminated from further discussion in accordance with State CEQA Guidelines Section 15126.6(c).

Criteria used to develop preliminary project alternatives to be carried forward for further evaluation included: (1) whether the alternative would avoid or substantially lessen significant impacts to air quality, biological resources, GHG emissions, land use and planning, population and housing, and transportation; (2) whether the alternative would generally meet most of the basic project objectives and underlying fundamental purpose; and (3) whether implementation of the alternative would be feasible. The following alternatives were carried forward for detailed evaluation:

- No Project Alternative. Under this alternative, implementation of the DRSP would not occur and future buildout of the project site, including off-site improvement areas, would not occur. This alternative assumes no development would occur on the site to provide a clear comparison of the project to existing (undeveloped) baseline conditions; development as envisioned in the current General Plan for Cañada Ranch is evaluated in La Cañada Ranch Alternative, below. As no physical changes to the environment would occur, potentially significant impacts would be substantially reduced in comparison to the proposed project. However, the No Project Alternative would not meet any of the project objectives.
- Alternative 1: Applicant-Preferred Alternative. This alternative would change the conceptual master plan slightly by reconfiguring the conceptual master plan to relocate a multi-family neighborhood (Neighborhood [NBD] 10) from the northeastern portion of the project site to the central portion of the site adjacent to the eastern side of the proposed public neighborhood park. As a result, the proposed public park would be reduced to 6 acres in size. This alternative would also relocate the future construction of Collector A through APN 091-301-029 to connect North Frontage Road to Willow Road; consistent with the proposed project, Collector B would connect Hetrick Avenue to Willow Road through APN 091-301-031. Under Alternative 1, buildout of the project site would be consistent with the scale and proposed land use types included under the proposed project. As a result, impacts under this alternative would be generally consistent with impacts associated with the proposed project. However, this alternative would change the alignment of Collector A and would move a proposed neighborhood from the northeastern portion of the site, which would substantially reduce the number of impacted oak trees. Alternative 1 would meet all of the project objectives.
- Alternative 2: La Cañada Ranch Specific Plan Alternative. Under this alternative, buildout of the project site would be consistent with the buildout scenario for the site as envisioned in the County's General Plan. This alternative would result in an increase in light industrial and commercial development and a decrease in residential development and would also substantially increase the amount of land designated for open space and eliminate recreational land uses, consistent with the types of uses prioritized in LUO Section 22.98.072 (listed above). Under this alternative, impacts related to air quality, biological resources, GHG emissions, land use and planning, population and housing, and transportation would be reduced. However, the La Cañada Ranch Alternative would increase impacts related to recreation. Although this alternative would facilitate the future development of residential land uses, due to the substantial reduction in the number of proposed units, the number of affordable units would be significantly decreased in order to provide funding for site development and other improvements. As a result, the La Cañada Ranch Alternative would not meet most of the basic project objectives, including providing a mix of residential development, including affordable homes, and providing public recreational facilities at the project site.
- Alternative 3: Residential Rural Cluster Subdivision Alternative. Under this alternative, no commercial development would occur, and the density of residential development would be limited, resulting in a smaller scale of buildout as compared to the proposed project. Based on the

reduction of proposed residential units, this alternative would reduce population growth in comparison to the proposed project. As a result, impacts related to biological resources, GHG emissions, land use and planning, population and housing, and public services would be reduced. However, the Residential Rural Cluster Subdivision Alternative would result in similar impacts related to air quality and transportation. In addition, this alternative may preclude annexation into the NCSD due to infrastructure costs to serve the reduced number of new connections and would, therefore, potentially increase impacts related to utilities and service systems. Due to the substantial reduction in the number of proposed residential units, the Rural Residential Cluster Subdivision Alternative would not meet the basic project objective of providing a diversity of housing types, including affordable homes. This alternative would also be inconsistent with the commercial and light industrial land uses planned for the site as identified in County's General Plan.

- Alternative 4: Development on Non-Native Grassland Alternative. This alternative would increase the amount of land dedicated to open space by reducing the area of proposed residential, commercial, and recreational development, and by avoiding to a greater extent the amount of impacted oak woodland and Burton Mesa chaparral habitat. This alternative would marginally reduce population growth in comparison to the proposed project but would require higher density development within the reduced project footprint to maintain the same general level of buildout of the Specific Plan Area. Buildout of this alternative would still constitute a substantial increase in growth within the community of Nipomo and impacts related to air quality, GHG emissions, land use and planning, population and housing, and transportation would be generally consistent with the proposed project. By avoiding the majority of onsite oak woodlands and Burton Mesa chaparral, this alternative would substantially reduce impacts to biological resources; however, the increased density may increase impacts related to compatibility with surrounding areas. The Development on Non-Native Grassland Alternative is considered feasible; however, it may conflict with the basic project objective of providing a mix of housing types and affordable housing options.
- Alternative 5: Gradual Transition along the Fringe Alternative. This alternative would include the same type and configuration of land uses as Alternative 1: the Applicant-Preferred Alternative, but it would reduce the density of residential development along the property boundaries to provide a more gradual transition between surrounding rural residential development and the denser residential development within the Specific Plan Area. The maximum number of units within neighborhoods located adjacent to the northern, southern, or western boundary of the Specific Plan would be reduced by 20% (approximately 154 units of a 12% total reduction) to accommodate the lower density build-out of the Specific Plan Area's perimeter. This alternative would marginally reduce population growth in comparison to the proposed project; however, buildout of this alternative would still constitute a substantial increase in growth within the community of Nipomo and impacts related to air quality, biological resources, greenhouse gas emissions, land use and planning, population and housing, and transportation would be generally consistent with the proposed project. This alternative is considered feasible; however, it will likely reduce the affordability of housing within the Specific Plan Area and, therefore, may conflict with the basic project objective of providing a mix of affordable housing options. In addition, this alternative fails to substantially reduce or avoid any of the significant impacts identified for the proposed project.

The State CEQA Guidelines require an analysis of alternatives to identify an environmentally superior alternative among the alternatives evaluated in the EIR. The environmentally superior alternative is the alternative that would minimize adverse impacts to the environment. Based on the evaluation of alternatives, the No Project Alternative would be the environmentally superior alternative because it would minimize the project's adverse impacts to the environment. However, State CEQA Guidelines

Section 15126.6(e)(2) states that if the No Project Alternative is also the environmentally superior alternative, the EIR should then identify an environmentally superior alternative among the other alternatives. Based on the detailed evaluation of project alternatives included in EIR Chapter 5, *Alternatives Analysis*, Alternative 3: the Residential Rural Cluster Subdivision Alternative would be considered the environmentally superior alternative. Since residential development would be central to this alternative, this alternative would help the County reach its housing development allocation goals per the County RHNA required by state law. However, based on the clustered development and other site constraints, this alternative may not meet project goals for the provision of affordable market rate housing units. Therefore, Alternative 3 would reduce the project's significant impacts; however, it would not meet all of the project's objectives. Because it would most successfully reduce the number and extent of significant environmental impacts, and would meet more of the project's primary objectives than other alternatives, Alternative 3 is the Environmentally Superior Alternative.

s page intentionally left blank.		